

CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT www.kclv.tv. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION - CHAPLAIN CHARLOTTE M. LEAS, BEREAVEMENT COORDINATOR FOR BUNKERS MORTUARIES
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: ACTING CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North

Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road

Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway

Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall

City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:06 – 9:07)

1-1

CHAPLAIN CHARLOTTE M. LEAS, Bereavement Coordinator for Bunkers Mortuaries, gave the invocation.

(9:07 – 9:08)

1-20

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002 Announcements – Continued

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:08 – 9:09)

1-45

COUNCILMAN BROWN acknowledged Boy Scout leader STEVE CURTIS and welcomed Boy Scouts ZACHARY CURTIS and STEVE SCHMIEZIN from Troop 133, who were accompanied by their sisters JUSTINE CURTIS and KELLY SCHMIEZIN.

(9:43 – 9:44)

1-1208

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

OATH OF OFFICE ADMINISTERED TO GEORGE ASSAD FOR MUNICIPAL COURT
JUDGE, DEPARTMENT 3

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: Municipal Court
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None Required

MINUTES:

Before administering the Oath of Office to JUDGE GEORGE ASSAD, who was appointed as Municipal Court Judge, Department 3, at the City Council meeting of June 5, 2002, MAYOR GOODMAN acknowledged DISTRICT ATTORNEY STEWART BELL, CHIEF JUSTICE BILL MAUPIN, and SENIOR JUDGE GEORGE LLOYD, and the City of Las Vegas Municipal Court Judges.

JUDGE ASSAD was accompanied by his son ANTHONY MICHAEL, daughter JENNA MARIE, and good friends HANNAH BROWN and JUDGE RODNEY BURR, Henderson Justice Court.

JUDGE BURR, who has known JUDGE ASSAD personally and professionally for many years, touched on JUDGE ASSAD's background. He complimented the Council members for selecting JUDGE ASSAD because he is very well qualified to take the bench, having served in the District Attorney's office and in private practice. He said that JUDGE ASSAD represents the American Dream. He is the son of immigrants from Syria who settled in Boston. Growing up in Boston, JUDGE ASSAD had to navigate the tough neighborhoods. After working in the casino industry in Las Vegas and putting himself through law school, he decided to come back to Las Vegas to practice law.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Ceremonial

Oath of Office Administered to George Assad for Municipal Court Judge, Department 3

MINUTES – Continued:

JUDGE BURR reiterated that JUDGE ASSAD exemplifies the realization of the American Dream, to be able to move up through hard work, determination, and faith. JUDGE ASSAD is a wonderful, fair human being who brings to the bench his expertise and his heart. When JUDGE ASSAD worked in the District Attorney's office he would always wonder what is fair and right for individuals. He will bring that to the bench and administer justice and mercy.

JUDGE ASSAD expressed his most sincere appreciation to the Council members for allowing him to realize his dream and the current Municipal Court Judges for letting him serve as an alternate judge. He invited everyone to attend his welcoming reception, which would be held in the eight-floor conference room. He introduced his Municipal Court, Department 3 staff: CHERYL CLEVELAND and DAVID REYES, Senior Clerks; ERICA RAGLAND and TRISHA WILLIAMS, Clerk IIs; BECKY GRILL, Executive Assistant; and RAUL SAADEDRA, Marshall.

He emphasized that the Judicial Branch of government is the most important to him because it is the branch of government that basically separates government from the people. He first witnessed that when he was at the University of South Florida and the Watergate hearings were commencing. That case was a real test and proof that the system of checks and balances works. After that he realized that with hard work he could better himself. He noted that this appointment is an honor to his mother who sacrificed her life so that he and his sister could come to America.

(9:08 – 9:29)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

JOSEPH MARCELLA, Director of Information Technologies, and MIKE HOUGEN, Support Services Manager, joined MAYOR GOODMAN in honoring SHERIE SORENSON and SAM MARANO as employees of the month for June. They were nominated by NEIL FERGUSON, Deputy City Marshal, for their exceptional job in making the operations of the City's mailroom smooth.

MAYOR GOODMAN stressed that since the events of 9/11/2001, people cannot go about their business as usual. MS. SORENSON and MR. MARANO had to change their way of doing business because they were receiving questionable packages and letters and had to make sure that City employees were protected. MAYOR GOODMAN thanked MS. SORENSON and MR. MARANO from the bottom of his heart for their fine work. MR. MARCELLA also thanked them and said that they really came through for the City when they were most needed. MS. SORENSON and MR. MARANO expressed their appreciation.

(9:29 – 9:33)

1-704

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF FLASH FLOOD AWARENESS MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of Proclamation

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

GALE FRASER and BETTY HOLLISTER of the Regional Flood Control District joined MAYOR GOODMAN, who said that every year thousands of residents make Las Vegas their home, and many of those residents are surprised to see some of the billboards that try to educate them about the dangers of flash floods. They are unaware that Las Vegas can have severe flash floods. More than one-half of all flood-related deaths are the result of people attempting to drive through flooded areas. It is critically important to educate the public about flood hazards, the potential for flash flooding, and how best to protect themselves in floods. The Regional Flood Control District strives to educate and protect residents and visitors from the dangers of flooding in the Las Vegas Valley through a flood safety awareness campaign. The City is fortunate to have two of the Council members, COUNCILMEN BROWN and MACK, on the Flood Control District's board.

MR. FRASER accepted the proclamation and thanked the Council for taking time from their busy agenda to make this presentation to assist the Flood Control District in spreading the word about the importance of flash flood awareness.

(9:33 – 9:34)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF DR. JIM DEACON

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted at the meeting: copy of Proclamation

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized DR. JAMES DEACON of the UNLV Department of Environmental Studies. She indicated that UNLV was founded in 1959 and DR. DEACON was one of the very first faculty members at UNLV. Forty-one years later, he is the longest serving faculty member at the University. He has made tremendous contributions in making UNLV one of the premiere institutions of higher learning in America.

DR. DEACON was accompanied by his wife MARYDELL, DR. MARTHA WATSON, Dean of the Greenspun School of Urban Affairs, and HELEN NEAL, Chair, Environmental Studies Department.

DR. DEACON thanked everyone for this surprising honor. He said that it is an especially wonderful experience for him because he has spent his career working hard to try to improve the quality of life in Las Vegas, because he believes that it is the greatest city in the world. He appreciates that the Council is working in the same direction for the entire community.

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Ceremonial

Recognition of Dr. Jim Deacon

MINUTES – Continued:

Finally, he pointed out that as a faculty member he quickly learned that faculty members learn more from the students than they do from the faculty, especially the really good students. He warned the Council members that if they have not yet experienced that, they most certainly will with INTERIM CITY MANAGER SELBY.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD presented him with a proclamation declaring 6/19/2002 as DR. JAMES DEACON DAY and a photograph signed by all the Council members.

DR. WATSON said that it is wonderful for the City to acknowledge the many contributions of DR. DEACON to UNLV and the entire community.

(9:34 – 9:38)

1-883

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF LT. COLONELS MAUD AND JIM SULLIVAN, SALVATION ARMY

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized LT. COLONELS JIM and MAUD SULLIVAN who were retiring from the Salvation Army after more than 42 years of active service. Joining the SULLIVANS were CHARLIE DESIDARIO and MAJOR WILLIAM RAIL, who would be taking their place.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD presented each of the SULLIVANS with a City of Las Vegas Medal of Merit, which has a Latin inscription that in English says "I have done my best." This phrase truly exemplifies the many years of service of the SULLIVANS. They did their very best for those that had the greatest need, for which, they will truly be blessed.

LT. COLONEL SULLIVAN said that he and his wife spent almost half of their career in Las Vegas, and they plan to stay in the community. He said that they could not have accomplished as much for the community without the assistance and support of the City of Las Vegas. MAYOR GOODMAN was very proud and pleased to hear that the SULLIVANS would be staying in the community. He wished them luck.

(9:38 – 9:42)

1-1032

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Ceremonial – Continued

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that the opinions of the Council members may differ at times, but they all participate in joyous occasions, such as COUNCILMAN REESE's 61st birthday. The Council members sang Happy Birthday to him.

(9:42 – 9:43)

1-1148

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56, 81, and 89 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1229

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of May 15, 2002 and Special City of Las Vegas City Council and Redevelopment Agency Meeting of May 21, 2002

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:45)

1-1261

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ CONSENT ☒ DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Attorney's compensation (**NOTE: To be trailed until the Afternoon Session, following the Closed Session**)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: To be determined
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: City Attorney
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Yearly performance and compensation review of the City Attorney

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Notice of closed personnel session, which has been scheduled to precede the action item

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED a 9.7% merit increase and a 3% bonus effective 7/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN opened by informing the general public that at the conclusion of the morning session, the Council discussed and engaged in precise conversation relative to determining the appropriate compensation adjustment for the City Attorney.

COUNCILMAN REESE commended CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC for his consistent endeavors, above and beyond the standards set for the position of City Attorney. He complimented CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC not only for his personal accomplishments but also for his outstanding leadership in guiding his staff as they represent the City of Las Vegas in all aspects of government.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

City Clerk

Item 3 – Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Attorney's compensation.

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE recommended a 9.7% merit increase, with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD agreeing with the recommendation and proposing an additional 3% one-time bonus be made a part of CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC'S adjusted compensation. She offered words of acclamation and noted that this year marks CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC'S tenth year of civil service.

MAYOR GOODMAN announced for the record that he was pleased with the performances of both CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and CITY AUDITOR SNELDING and delighted with the fact that they are City employees.

There was no further discussion.

(1:11 – 1:14)

3-35

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Discussion and possible action to adjust the City Auditor's compensation (**NOTE: To be trailed until the Afternoon Session, following the Closed Session**)

Fiscal Impact

<input type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount: To be determined
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division: City Audit
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Yearly performance and compensation review of the City Auditor

RECOMMENDATION:**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Notice of closed personnel session, which has been scheduled to precede the action item

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED a 4% merit increase and a 4% bonus effective 7/1/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

Similar to the discussion relative to Item No. 3, MAYOR GOODMAN informed the general public that at the conclusion of the morning session, the Council discussed and engaged in precise conversation relative to determining the appropriate compensation adjustment for the City Auditor.

COUNCILMAN REESE commended CITY AUDITOR RADFORD SNELDING for doing a fantastic job as auditor, having the foresight to make recommendations and suggestions relative to cost-saving efforts for the City.

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that he was pleased with the performances of both CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC and CITY AUDITOR SNELDING and delighted with the fact that they are City employees.

There was no further discussion.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: DOUG SELBY

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Approval of a cost of living adjustment (COLA) for Appointive Employees (\$205,900 from the General, Special Revenue, Enterprise and Internal Service Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$205,900

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: City Manager

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: \$183,500 General; \$6,600 Special Revenue; \$9,400 Enterprise; \$6,400 Internal Service

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

By this action, Council is approving a Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) for appointive employees who are not in the Executive or Management Compensation Plans. This COLA is recommended to be 1.5%.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that Council approve the Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) for appointive employees (excluding employees in the Executive or Management Compensation Plans.)

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Consent – Administrative

Item 5 - Approval of a cost of living adjustment (COLA) for Appointive Employees (\$205,900 from the General, Special Revenue, Enterprise and Internal Service Funds)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 77, 78, and 79 be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUILDING AND SAFETY

DIRECTOR: PAUL K. WILKINS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new agreement with KB Home which provides for the Department of Building and Safety to use a temporary employee to perform building inspections

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

KB Home approached the City of Las Vegas Department of Building and Safety requesting the use of a full-time temporary City Inspector/Combination Inspector to be used at various construction projects within the City limits. Both parties entered into an agreement in April, 2002, and the program has benefited both the City and KB Home. This action is to renew the agreement with a contract amount of Eight-Five Thousand Dollars (\$85,000.00) payable to the City for the full-time use of the inspector and to clarify the duration of the contract, making it run concurrently with the City's fiscal year.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Agreement for the Use of Temporary Employee

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUILDING AND SAFETY

DIRECTOR: PAUL K. WILKINS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of an agreement with Christopher Homes which provides for the Department of Building and Safety to use a temporary employee to perform building inspections

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In 1999, Christopher Homes approached the City of Las Vegas Department of Building and Safety requesting the use of a full-time temporary City Inspector/Combination Inspector to be used at various construction projects within the City limits. Both parties entered into an agreement; and the program has benefited both the City and Christopher Homes. This action is to renew the agreement with a new contract amount of Eight-Five Thousand Dollars (\$85,000.00) payable to the City for the full-time use of the inspector and to clarify the duration of the contract, making it run concurrently with the City's fiscal year.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Agreement for the Use of Temporary Employee

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the renewal of the Interlocal Contract between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County to provide Yucca Mountain oversight funds to the City of Las Vegas in the amount of \$40,000 for the period 7/1/02 - 6/30/03

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$40,000 Revenue

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Business Development

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Clark County

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Since 1998, Clark County has provided funds to the City of Las Vegas to cover the costs associated with our involvement in the oversight of the Yucca Mountain Repository Program and the Department of Energy (DOE) activities as they relate to the site. These funds will cover the overhead, travel, impact studies, Community Involvement Tracking System, training, staff time, and other expenses associated with the City's oversight activities for the Yucca Mountain project.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 05/01/02 - -5/15/02

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	20,553,505.98
Total Payroll Checks	\$	4,426,954.76
Total Wire Transfers	\$	21,157,381.22
Total NBS and City Investments	\$	0.00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement with Clark County to fund the construction of the new Metro Fleet Services Facility (\$105,000 annually for 10 years) - County

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$105,000 annually for 10 years☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☒**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City and the County previously entered into two interlocal agreements to fund the construction of a new Comm Center, Training Academy, and four substations. The relocation of the Comm Center and the development of a substation on the original site have created a need to relocate the Fleet Services. Since communication equipment in vehicles is currently serviced in vehicles at the Comm Center, that site would be more appropriate for Fleet Services.

Additionally, the construction of the South Strip substation resulted in some unanticipated costs associated with providing sewer services to the site. This interlocal, approved by the County on June 4, would provide funding not to exceed \$2,000,000 for the Fleet Services facility, and an additional \$500,000 to supplement the substation. The City will reimburse the County over a ten-year period based on the annual Metro Funding Apportionment Plan.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve the County Interlocal Agreement to fund a new Fleet Services Facility.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of transfer of Fiscal Year 2002 Budget Appropriations for Capital Projects Funds in the amount of \$5,170,000

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:** \$5,170,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Transfer of budget appropriations requested to adjust for expenditures and adjustments between functions and Transfers Out. This request does not increase the total authorized appropriations.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of Transfer of Budget Appropriations for the Capital Projects Funds in the total amount of \$5,170,000.

General Capital Projects	\$ 400,000
City Facilities	\$4,000,000
Fire Services	\$ 150,000
Parks & Leisure Activities	\$ 150,000
Detention & Enforcement	\$ 70,000
Special Assessments	\$ 400,000

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Capital Projects Funds list

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of transfer of Fiscal Year 2002 Budget Appropriations for the Multipurpose and Housing Program Special Revenue Funds in the amount of \$4,220,000

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:** \$4,220,000☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Special Revenue Funds**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Transfer of budget appropriations requested to adjust for expenditures and adjustments between functions and transfers out. This request does not increase the total authorized appropriations.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of transfer of budget appropriations for the Special Revenue Funds in the total amount of \$4,220,000.

Multipurpose Special Revenue Fund	\$3,570,000
Housing Program	\$650,000

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Multipurpose and Housing Program Special Revenue Funds list

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Roxanne McCune, dba Roxanne McCune, 6220 Fargo Ave., Roxanne McCune, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License, Children's Choice Nevada Corporation, dba Children's Choice Learning Center, 2750 South Rancho Drive, From: Cheryl L. Smith, Pres Center Ops, Licensee, License Holder, Frances Jackson, VP Ops, Licensee, License Holder, To: Donna McClintock, EVP Ops, Licensee, License Holder - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of License Holder for a Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License, Kiattipol Kittisoros, dba Pin Kaow Thai Restaurant, 1974 North Rainbow Blvd., Kiattipol Kittisoros, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Albertson's, Inc., dba Albertson's Express #6009, 8490 Farm Road, Peter L. Lynch, Pres, Kaye L. O'Riordan, Secy, John F. Boyd, Treas - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Pollee Corp., dba Chez House of Jazz, 912 North Martin L. King Blvd. (Non-operational), Eddie M. Bunch, Dir, Pres, Secy, 50%, Kelly C. Bunch, Dir, Treas, 50%, To: Briggs of Stratosphere, Inc., dba Fat Tuesday, 2000 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Space D-11, David A. Briggs, Jr., Dir, Pres, Danny L. Drago, Secy, Treas, Briggs Investments, Inc., 100%, David A. Briggs, Jr., Dir, Pres, 100%, Danny L. Drago, Secy, Treas - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Package Liquor License, R. S. Gallery, Inc., dba From: R. K. Liquor, To: Havana Liquor, 2000 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Suite B3, Roland Katavic, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, K-Cal Ventures, LLC, dba Circle K, 1140 East Charleston Blvd., David M. Myers, Store Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., dba Wal-Mart Store #3473, 4505 West Charleston Blvd., Donald W. Watts, Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Nevada Car Wash Properties, LLC, dba Terrible's, 7800 West Sahara Ave., Milton Zisman, Jr., Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Circle K Convenience Stores, Inc., dba Circle K Store #8656, 2390 North Decatur Blvd., Danny Smolinski, Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Nevada Car Wash Properties, LLC, dba Terrible's, 11 North Nellis Blvd., Warren C. Guthrie, Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Terrible Herbst, Inc., dba Terrible's #162, 6650 West Cheyenne Ave., Thomas D. Spencer, Mgr - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #26627C, 3501 East Bonanza Rd., Eleazar Rivera, Store Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, dba Texaco Star Mart, 298 South Decatur Blvd., YSR, Inc., Management Company, Kristine D. Jackson, Store Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #21478C, 200 West Boston Ave., Toni L. Monzo, Store Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Circle K Convenience Stores, Inc., dba Circle K Store #1248, 1550 North Lamb Blvd., Shelly Juarez, Store Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #29767A, 2350 North Rainbow Blvd., Sandra G. M. Clarke, Mgr - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Haim Lavi, dba Sahara Decatur Texaco, 2500 South Decatur Blvd., Rongrat Siriuptham, Store Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Team West, Ltd., dba Fast Eddie's Mini Mart, 1080 South Rainbow Blvd., Hariom Gulati, Store Mgr - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License, Briggs of Stratosphere, Inc., dba Fat Tuesday, 2000 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Space D-11, John P. Pittman, Gen Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Tenaya Lodge Operator, LLC, dba The Lodge at Tenaya, 5717 Sky Pointe Drive, James M. Deddo, Jr., Gen Mgr - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Key Employee for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Heather R. Criswell, dba Touch of Life, 9700 Meadowville Ave., Heather R. Criswell, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Lisa A. Holmes, dba Lisa A. Holmes, 5250 South Rainbow Blvd., #2086, Lisa A. Holmes, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Sheila L. Neal, dba Sheila L. Neal, 6010 West Cheyenne Ave., Suite 12, Sheila L. Neal, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License, Rick Barrett, dba Rick Barrett, 5131 Tara Ave., Rickland G. Barrett, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Charla A. Eubank, dba Out and About Chair Massage, From: 1020 Silver Lake Drive, To: 5208 Black Port Court, Charla A. Eubank, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Douglas Taylor, dba Douglas Taylor, From: 2221 West Bonanza Rd., #52, To: 2653 South Decatur Blvd., #2018, Douglas Taylor, 100% - County

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that although his brother STEVEN MACK owns SuperPawn shops in the vicinity of the locations involved in Items 17, 18, 30, 32, and 39 and his brother-in-law ANDREW DONNER owns Timbers Bar and Grills in the areas involved in Items 28 and 29, he would be voting on these matters, as he has not discussed these matters with them and there is no impact to their businesses.

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Lucia Galasso, dba Lucia Galasso, LMT, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, To: 8155 West Charleston Blvd., #75, Lucia M. Galasso, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Megan Berry, dba Megan Berry, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, To: 2620 Regatta Drive, Suite 113, Megan K. Berry, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Jane Coen, dba Jane Coen, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch Rd., Suite M, To: 8440 West Lake Mead Blvd., #110, Jane E. Coen, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement with Clark County, Henderson, North Las Vegas and Boulder City concerning the activation of digital channels on Cox Communications' cable system, to be effective through September 30, 2008, including the activation of a "Fire Channel" (\$20,000 - General Fund) - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$20,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services/Administration

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Interlocal Agreement

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Interlocal Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.1730.25-RC, Ogden Avenue Sewer & Pavement Rehabilitation and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORPORATION (\$1,116,388 - Special Revenue and Enterprise Fund) - Wards 3 and 5 (Reese and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,116,388☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Engr. Design☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Special Revenue & Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction to be performed under this contract is generally described as removal of existing pavement, construction of new pavement, new concrete valley gutter, adjusting manholes & valve boxes, installing new loop detectors, new signing and striping of Ogden Ave. from Las Vegas Blvd. to 13th St. (approx. 0.57 miles) and 8th St. from Ogden Ave. to Mesquite Ave. (approx. 0.18 miles) and Mesquite Ave. from 8th St. to 10th St. (approx. 0.14 miles). Also included will be the rehabilitation of existing 18" sewer in Ogden Ave. from Main St. to Las Vegas Blvd.

POC: Bill Wellman (702) 251-5800

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.1730.25-RC, Ogden Avenue Sewer & Pavement Rehabilitation to Las Vegas Paving Corporation in the amount of \$1,116,388 and approve a construction conflicts & contingency reserve of \$213,612.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Submitted after meeting: Bid Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020073-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Irrigation Parts - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: TURF EQUIPMENT and EWING IRRIGATION PARTS (Estimated aggregate annual amount of \$340,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$340,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Parks

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide the Parks and Open Spaces Division with an annual requirements contract for irrigation parts.

POC: Lots 1, 2, & 3 - Larry Kennedy, Turf Equipment - (702) 873-2468

POC: Lot 4 - Pete Luna, Ewing Irrigation Parts - (702) 459-9530

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020073-DAR, ARC for Irrigation Parts to Turf Equipment for Lots 1, 2 and 3, & Ewing Irrigation Parts for Lot 4 from date of award through June 30, 2003, with four (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated aggregate annual amount of \$340,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Cooperative Agreement and the issuance of a Purchase Order to provide bus transportation for the Department of Leisure Services' recreation and special events programs (CW) - Department of Leisure Services - Award recommended to: CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (Estimated amount of \$280,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$280,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Leisure Services

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides bus transportation service for various recreation and special events for the Department of Leisure Services.

This agreement is exempt from the competitive bidding requirement pursuant to NRS 227.180, Interlocal Contracts.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the interlocal cooperative agreement with Clark County School District and issuance of a purchase order for bus transportation services for July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003, with annual renewals as long as NRS 227.180 is in effect, in the estimated amount of \$280,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020062-KF, Annual Requirements Contract for Motorola Radios, Associated Equipment and Batteries - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: MOTOROLA, INC. and BATTERY UNIVERSE (Estimated aggregate annual amount of \$200,000 - Various Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$200,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Various Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement will provide Motorola radios, associated equipment and batteries for use citywide.

POC: Lot I - Kelly Clayton, Motorola, Inc. - (858) 404-4328

POC: Lot II - Jeanne Prestin, Battery Universe - (800) 797-9750

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve award of Bid No. 020062-KF, ARC for Motorola Radios, Associated Equipment and Batteries to Motorola, Inc. for Lot I & Battery Universe for Lot II, from date of award through May 31, 2003, w/ 4 one-year renewal options in the est. aggregate annual amt. of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number three to Purchase Order 211200 for office supplies from Office Depot (CW) - Various Departments - Award recommended to: OFFICE DEPOT (estimated amount of \$75,000 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$75,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Various Departments

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On October 20, 1999, the City Council approved the issuance of Purchase Order 211200 for an annual requirements contract for office supplies to Office Depot in the estimated annual amount of \$300,000. Due to increased usage this revision is being written to add an additional \$75,000 to the purchase order to cover requirements through the expiration of the purchase order.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number three to Purchase Order 211200 to Office Depot in the estimated amount of \$75,000 from date of award through June 30, 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of revision number one to Purchase Order 213426 for third party collection service contingency fees to NCO Financial Systems, Inc (KR) - Municipal Court - Award recommended to: NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. (\$70,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$70,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Municipal Court/ASED

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On December 19, 2001 City Council approved the extension of a contract for collection agency services with NCO Financial Systems, Inc. through December 31, 2002 in the amount of \$15,107. Due to increased collections this revision is being written to add an additional \$70,000 to the purchase order to cover the contingency fees through the expiration of the purchase order.

POC: Nancy McCrary - (770) 797-4325

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number one to Purchase Order 213426 to NCO Financial Systems, Inc. in the estimated amount of \$70,000 effective through December 31, 2002.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Professional Services Agreement Modification No. 2 to extend the period of performance for two years for Parking Citation Hearing Officer Services (LR) - Department of Finance and Business Services - Award recommended to: ROGER L. HARRIS, ESQ. (\$70,000 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$70,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Finance & Business Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Mr. Harris has served as the City's Hearing Officer since 1994, and has increased the number of hearings over which he presides, resulting in the elimination of a second hearing officer last year. This Modification No. 2 would provide for two years (\$35,000 per year) of Mr. Harris' services, eliminating the hourly rate and holding prices firm over that period. The current Agreement expires on June 30, 2002.

POC: Roger L. Harris - (702) 293-6596

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve award of Mod. #2 to extend the period of performance for 2 yrs. for Parking Citation Hearing Officer Services to Roger L. Harris, Esq., in the amt. of \$70,000 for July 1, 2002- June 30, 2004. Authority to execute Modification is given to P&C Mgr. per Resolution R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Certificate - Disclosure of Ownership/Principals
2. Submitted after meeting: Agreement Modification No. 2

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020071-DAR, 4x4 Crew Cab Pickup Truck - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: HENDERSON CHEVROLET (\$35,435 - Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,435

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Mgmt.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This purchase will provide for the addition to the City's fleet for use by the Water Pollution Control Facility Laboratory Lake Mead crew.

POC: Paul Brown - (702) 432-3650

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020071-DAR, 4x4 Crew Cab Pickup Truck to Henderson Chevrolet in the amount of \$35,435.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a contract and issuance of a purchase order for a one-year annual requirements contract for mentoring services and training for WPCF employees (JDF) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: COMPUTATIONAL SYSTEMS, INC. (\$33,800 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$33,800☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Environmental☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Enterprise Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for an annual requirements contract to provide three days per month of one-on-one mentoring vibration services to WPCF personnel and includes travel costs for the period from July 1, 2002 through and including June 30, 2003.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(c), Additions to and repairs and maintenance of equipment which may be more efficiently added to, repaired or maintained by a certain person.

POC: Mark Wilkerson - (865) 675-2400

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the contract and issuance of a purchase order for an annual requirements contract for mentoring and training in the amount of \$33,800 for the period from July 1, 2002 through and including June 30, 2003. Authority to execute contract given to P&C Mgr. per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Request for Quotation for Heat Reservoir Return and Supply Repairs and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: RYAN MECHANICAL (\$31,560 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$31,560

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/WPCF

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Enterprise Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The work to be performed consists of installing new insulated steel piping with expansion bellows on two existing heat reservoir returns and supply pipeline at the Water Pollution Control Facility.

POC: Paul Eliason - (702) 255-8100

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of the Request for Quotation for Heat Reservoir Return and Supply Repairs to Ryan Mechanical in the amount of \$31,560 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$3,340.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 020066-DAR, Furnish and Install Entrance Gate at the East City Yards - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: GIBSON CONSTRUCTION OF NEVADA, INC. (\$31,022 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$31,022

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fac. Mgmt.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Project Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This project will provide for an automated entrance gate at the east City yards.

POC: Doug Sorgani - (702) 399-4600

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 020066-DAR, Furnish and Install Entrance Gate to Gibson Construction of Nevada, Inc. in the amount of \$31,022.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of contract and issuance of a purchase order for a Three-Year Maintenance Agreement for Computation Systems, Inc. Computer Equipment (JDF) - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: EMERSON PROCESS MANAGEMENT, a subsidiary of Computation Systems, Inc. (\$30,895 - Enterprise Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount: \$30,895**☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: Public Works/Environmental**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source: Enterprise Fund****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This request provides for three years of software and hardware maintenance of CSI brand computer equipment for the Water Pollution Control Facility for the period from June 30, 2002 through and including June 29, 2005.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(c), Additions to and repairs and maintenance of equipment which may be more efficiently added to, repaired or maintained by a certain person.

POC: Lyshanda Gallman, Emerson Process Management - (865) 675-2400

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the contract & issuance of a P.O. for a 3-yr. contract for software and hardware maintenance in the amount of \$30,895 from June 30, 2002 through and including June 29, 2005. Authority to execute contract on behalf of the City given to P&C Mgr. per Resolution R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a contract and issuance of a purchase order to provide and install all supplies, materials and equipment for an automatic fueling dispensing and key processing system under the terms and conditions of Request for Proposals 010029 (JDF) - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: SER-CON INC. (\$535,927 - Capital Projects Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$535,927

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Field Operations/Fleet Svc

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to provide and install all supplies, materials and equipment for an automatic fueling dispensing and key processing system for various sites throughout the City of Las Vegas for the period from date of award until installation is complete and has been accepted by the City.

POC: Pamela E. Kissick - (702) 733-0044

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the contract & issuance of a PO to Ser-Con Inc. to provide & install all supplies, matls. & equip. for automatic fueling dispensing & key processing system in the amt. of \$535,927. Authority to execute contract on behalf of the City is given to P&C Mgr per R-145-2001.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56, 81, and 89 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1229

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FIRE & SAFETY

DIRECTOR: DAVID L. WASHINGTON

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to purchase a Flashover Container System to simulate various fire situations for training purposes at the Fire Training Center (\$31,825 - General Fund) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$31,825

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services/Training

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Phase 1 container is an excellent defensive training system that will provide firefighters hands-on training in a safe environment. The fire is not influenced by artificial or individual factors. It is the most cost effective, realistic training system available. Key points of this system include incipient of the fire, fire growth, and smoke production, high pressure/low pressure zones, thermal feedback, rollover, and flashover.

RECOMMENDATION:

Las Vegas Fire & Rescue recommends approval of the purchase.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT

DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of annual purchase order for interpreters/translators for Las Vegas Municipal Court's court-ordered classes, appointments, and curriculum materials provided by the Court's Alternative Sentencing and Education Division (ASED) - (Annual aggregate amount of \$35,501 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$35,501

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Municipal Court/ASED

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides for interpreters/translators (foreign and sign language as well as deaf captioning) to provide interpreting and translating services, including simultaneous, for court-ordered classes, appointments, curriculum materials, and program contacts administered by ASED for the period from July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve annual purchase order for the interpreters/translators and that the Court Administrator be authorized to execute contracts with said individuals in the aggregate annual amount of \$35,501.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

ASED Court Interpreters/Translators List

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: MUNICIPAL COURT

DIRECTOR: JAMES P. CARMANY

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of annual purchase order for instructors and speaker services for Las Vegas Municipal Court's court-ordered defendant classes and programs provided by the Court's Alternative Sentencing and Education Division (ASED) - (Annual aggregate amount of \$268,500 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$268,500

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Municipal Court/ASED

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CLV General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request provides for instructors to conduct classes for ASED's Misdemeanor Programs Unit and Traffic School as well as speaker services for the First Offender Prostitution Program administered by ASED, for the period from July 1, 2002 through June 30, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve annual purchase order for instructor and speaker services, and that the Court Administrator be authorized to execute contracts with said individuals in the aggregate annual amount of \$268,500.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

ASED Contract Instructors and Speakers List

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Second Amendment to the Agreement to Grant 15% Redevelopment Set Aside Housing Development Funds to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada (CDPCN) to request the City of Las Vegas to remove the original Declaration of Restrictive Covenants on the property known as Sunset Park Apartments (Parcel 139-21-202-001) and Harmony Park Apartments (Parcel 139-21-202-002) and record a new Declaration of Restrictive Covenants on the property of Sunset Park Apartments only - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

CDPCN has requested from the City of Las Vegas a removal of the original Declaration of Restrictive Covenants on the Property dated March 24, 1999 and record a new Declaration of Covenants on the property of Sunset Park only. The original parcel located on the property has been reparcelled into two parcels for the purpose of developing two separate projects known as Sunset Park Apartments and Louise Shell/Harmony Park Apartments.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the Second Amendment to the Agreement and authorize the Mayor to sign and execute all related documents.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Second Amendment to the Agreement to Grant 15% Redevelopment Set Aside Housing Development Funds to Community Development Programs Center of Nevada
2. Request for Release and Reconveyance

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of reprogramming \$30,000 of FY 00/01 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from Maryland Villas Child Care Project to the Jude 22 Senior Nutrition Program - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$30,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CDBG

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Jude 22 offers food and sundries from its Senior Nutrition Program food pantry to low income senior citizens. With the onset of the events from September 11, 2001, the food staples have been depleted at a higher rate than anticipated. Recognizing that seniors are a very vulnerable population, we are requesting funds to augment Jude 22's budget for food purchases. The funds will be transferred from Maryland Villas Child Care Project, a CDBG Public Service project that was cancelled, to Jude 22.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the transfer and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreement with the sub recipient upon approval by the City Attorney.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Fifth Supplemental Cooperative Agreement #025D between Clark County, the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada and the City of Las Vegas to close the Craig Road - US 95 to Decatur project - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Fifth Supplemental Cooperative Agreement #025D will close the Craig Road - US 95 to Decatur project. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their April 11, 2002 Board meeting. Clark County will approve this contract at their Board meeting following the City Council approval. Total cost of this project was \$7,754,669.54.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fifth Supplemental Cooperative Agreement #025D

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way parcels for the Alexander Road - Hualapai Way Road Improvements Project between Cheyenne Avenue and Durango Drive (\$800,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$800,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Connection and Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District - Dennis Irl Carlson and Valerie Carlson, owners (north of Racel Avenue, west of Buffalo Drive, APN 125-09-705-010) - County - near Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a single-family dwelling located north of Racel Avenue, west of Buffalo Drive. The owners propose to connect to an existing 12" sewer line located in Racel Street. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicants have signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement". This property is within the Clark County Interlocal Annexation Exceptions area and cannot be annexed to the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

Public Works recommends approval subject to conformance with all City codes and departmental standards and off-site improvements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda memo
2. Submitted after meeting - Interlocal Contract

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Amended Interlocal Cooperative Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Clark County for the Traffic Signal Project at the intersection of Desert Inn Road and Crystal Water Way/El Capitan Way (\$38,800 - Donation Trust Fund) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: \$38,800

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Donation Trust Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas and Clark County have entered into an agreement in which the city of Las Vegas will construct the traffic signal at the intersection of Desert Inn Road and Crystal Water Way/El Capitan Way. Clark County was to contribute \$6800 toward its construction; however, the County asked the City to add additional components to the signal at El Capitan Way, bringing their contribution to \$38,800.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Amended Interlocal Cooperative Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

ABEYANCE ITEM - R-36-2002 - Approval of a Resolution regarding the LaTierra Apartment Project located at 8600 Starboard requesting that the State Housing Division use its best efforts to complete the underwriting and financing of this project and provide for other matters properly relating thereto - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The State funded acquisition and rehabilitation of the apartment complex known as La Tierra by Rocky Mountain Mutual Housing Association, Inc would be solely funded with the State Housing Division private activity bond cap in the amount of \$54 million for the purpose of acquiring and rehabilitating the 896 unit complex. The Rocky Mountain Mutual Housing Association, Inc proposes to complete substantial energy conservation improvements on the existing property and to provide tenant service programs on the site, which may include computer labs, community activity programs, after-school and educational programs.

RECOMMENDATION:

The City Manager recommends the City Council approve the Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-36-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-44-2002 - Approval of a Resolution of Intent to Issue Economic Development Revenue Bonds not to exceed \$50,000,000 to finance the Las Vegas Event Center and fixing the time and place of the public hearing concerning such bonds - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This 2002 Resolution of Intent to Issue Economic Development Bonds proposes the issuance of, and authorizes the publication of notices relating to economic development revenue bonds for the purpose of financing the Las Vegas Event Center. This project includes the acquisition, construction, and equipping of a multi-purpose event center to be located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Main and Stewart Streets. These bonds will not represent an indebtedness of the City and will be repaid pursuant to an agreement between the City and the Las Vegas Event Center.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-44-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-45-2002 - Approval of a Resolution to augment and amend the Fiscal Year 2002 Budget Appropriations for the Donations Expendable Trust Fund in the amount of \$1,430,000

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,430,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Donations ETF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Augment and amend budget appropriations requested to effect an increase in appropriations for unplanned expenditures in the amount of \$1,430,000. Funding is provided through a reduction in originally planned ending fund balance of and a transfer of appropriation between functions.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of augmentation and amendment of Donations Expendable Trust Fund in the total amount of \$1,430,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-45-2002
2. Donations Expendable Trust Fund list

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-46-2002 - Approval of a Resolution to augment and amend the Fiscal Year 2002 Budget Appropriations for the Employee Benefits Expendable Trust Fund in the amount of \$860,000

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$860,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Employee Benefits ETF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Augment and amend budget appropriations requested to effect an increase in appropriations for unplanned expenditures. Funding is provided through a reduction in originally planned ending fund balance.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of augmentation and amendment of Employee Benefits Expendable Trust Fund in the total amount of \$860,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-46-2002
2. Employee Benefits Expendable Trust Fund list

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-47-2002 - Approval of a Resolution to augment the Fiscal Year Budget Appropriations for the Fremont Street Room Tax Special Revenue Fund in the amount of \$55,000

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$55,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Finance & Business Services

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Fremont Street Room Tax SRF

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Request to augment the budget appropriations to effect an increase for unplanned expenditures. Funding will be provided through use of beginning fund balance in excess of original budget amount.

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends approval of augmentation for the Fremont Street Room Tax Special Revenue Fund in the amount of \$55,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-47-2002
2. Fremont Street Room Tax Special Revenue Fund list

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-48-2002 - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the Director of Public Works to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) (\$57,054 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$57,054☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This resolution directs the Director of Public Works to prepare the Final Assessment Roll for the annual maintenance costs of street beautification improvements along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane. The fiscal amount reflects the estimated maintenance costs from October, 2002 through June, 2003.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-48-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-49-2002 - Approval of a Resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the Final Assessment Roll will be heard for: Special Improvement District No. 1485 - Alta Drive (Landscape Maintenance) (\$57,054 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$57,054

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This resolution defines the time and place for a public hearing on the Final Assessment Roll for the annual maintenance costs of street beautification improvements along Alta Drive from Rancho Drive to approximately 275 feet west of Lacy Lane.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-49-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-50-2002 - Approval of a Resolution directing the City Treasurer to prepare the Thirteenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report re: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewers, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Monterossa - Unit 2.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-50-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-51-2002 - Approval of a Resolution approving the Thirteenth Assessment Lien Apportionment Report regarding: Special Improvement District No. 808 Summerlin Area (Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: N/A

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/SID

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Acquisition, construction and installation of streets, sanitary sewer, storm sewer, and water main projects. Parcel is located in Monterossa - Unit 2.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-51-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-52-2002 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-II to Add the Speed Limit of 35 mph on Decatur Boulevard between Ann Road and the North City Limits - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of North Las Vegas wants to increase the speed limit on Decatur between Ann Road and the North City Limits from 25 to 35 mph. The east side of Decatur Boulevard along this section belongs to the City of NLV, and the west side belongs to the CLV. Traffic studies showed that the 85th percentile speed was 53 mph; however, between Ann Road and Tropical Parkway there are only half street improvements on the City's side of the roadway, and north of Tropical Parkway it is only a two-lane road with 30' of pavement. Staff therefore concurs with the City of NLV.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-52-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-53-2002 - Approval of a Resolution Amending Schedule 25-IV to Change the Speed Limit from 35 mph to 45 mph on Decatur Boulevard between Lone Mountain Road and Ann Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Traffic Engineering

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of North Las Vegas would like to increase the speed limit on Decatur Boulevard between Lone Mountain Road & Ann Road from 35 mph to 45 mph. The east side of Decatur Boulevard along this section of roadway belongs to the City of NLV & the west side belongs to the CLV. Traffic studies conducted along this roadway showed that the 85th percentile speed was 46 mph. The speed limit on Decatur Boulevard south of Lone Mountain Road is posted at 45 mph. The Traffic Engineering Division concurs with the City of NLV that the speed limit should be raised from 35 mph to 45 mph.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Map
2. Resolution No. R-53-2002

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Memorandum of Lease and Landlord's Consent between the City of Las Vegas, Southwest Golf Limited Partnership and Nevada State Bank to permit the refinancing of Desert Pines Golf Course, located at 3415 East Bonanza Road - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Southwest Golf Limited Partnership is seeking to refinance the Desert Pines (formerly Nature Park) Golf Course through Nevada State Bank. The City's lease of Desert Pines requires City Council approval of any mortgage of the lease and requires the City to provide an estoppel certificate (contained within the Memorandum of Lease and Landlord's Consent) to the proposed lender indicating that the lease is not in default and that the City agrees to the loan and mortgage. The City's approval will not change or impair the City's current position with respect to the lease.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 6/17/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Memorandum of Lease and Landlord's Consent

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 77, 78, and 79 be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Assignment of Water Provision Agreement by Southwest Golf Limited Partnership to Nevada State Bank as security for the refinancing of Desert Pines Golf Course located at 3415 East Bonanza Road - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Southwest Golf Limited Partnership is seeking to refinance Desert Pines (formerly Nature Park) Golf Course through Nevada State Bank and to assign the associated Water Provision Agreement to the bank as security for the refinancing. The City's lease of Desert Pines requires City Council approval of any assignment of any such rights or interests under the lease. The City's approval will not change or impair the City's current position with respect to the Lease or the Water Provision Agreement.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 6/17/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Assignment of Water Provision Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS

Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 77, 78, and 79 be approved by the City Council.

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a 30-day extension of the escrow deadline for Retail Holding 1, LLC, in order to close escrow by July 3, 2002, for the purchase and development of a 2.025 acre parcel and 2.249 acre parcel located in the Las Vegas Enterprise Park for the development of a Vocational Trade Center and Child Care Facility - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On September 5, 2001, City Council approved a Purchase and Sale Agreement for the purchase of property in the Las Vegas Enterprise park for the development of a 42,500 square foot Vocational Trade Center and 7,500 square foot Child Care Facility. On April 17, 2002, City Council approved a 60-day extension. Retail Holding 1, LLC, on behalf of the end-purchaser (West Las Vegas Pioneers, Inc.) has requested a second extension of time in order to secure the end-purchaser's participation in the project. Retail Holding 1, LLC is in the process of securing 50% preleasing in order to close on construction financing for the project.

RECOMMENDATION:

The 6/17/2002 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter, dated June 3, 2002, from Loren Miller, Retail Holding 1, LLC, requesting 30-day escrow extension
2. Disclosure of Principals
3. Site Map

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 5 – 55 and 57 - 79 – UNANIMOUS****Item 56: STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)****MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN MACK commented that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate Items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that Items 77, 78, and 79 be approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Consent – Real Estate

Item 79 – Approval of a 30-day extension of the escrow deadline for Retail Holding 1, LLC, in order to close escrow by July 3, 2002, for the purchase and development of a 2.025 acre parcel and 2.249 acre parcel located in the Las Vegas Enterprise Park for the development of a Vocational Trade Center and Child Care Facility

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no related discussion.

(9:45 – 9:47)

1-1281

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: DOUG SELBY

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report from the City Manager on emerging issues

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City Manager (CM) Report will be a vehicle for the City Manager to update the Council on emerging issues that may have an impact on the City of Las Vegas. The CM Report will be a reoccurring item for every Council meeting. If there are no items for the particular meeting, the City Manager will recommend that the item be stricken.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required. There was nothing to report.

MINUTES:

INTERIM CITY MANAGER SELBY reported that the City of Las Vegas has some very talented employees. Recently, RICKY BARLOW, Council Liaison, was elected Chair of the Las Vegas-Clark County Library District Board and ELAINE SANCHEZ was elected Vice Chair of the same Board.

COUNCILMAN REESE announced that about a month ago he expressed concerns about streets in Ward 3 that needed proper repair. The streets are now being worked on and he expressed appreciation to staff for responding expeditiously and accordingly.

There was no further discussion.

(9:47 – 9:48)

1-1342

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS SELBY

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report on the 98th Range Wing at Nellis Air Force Base

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

At the request of Nellis Air Force Base personnel, they will give a short presentation on the range.

RECOMMENDATION:

City Council receives the report. No action needed.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56, 81, and 89 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1229

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: LESA CODER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to receive an update status report and direct staff accordingly regarding the proposed East Fremont Entertainment District (Multiple APNs) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

March 3, 2002, Council directed staff to explore the creation of an entertainment district on East Fremont. Staff has met with some land owners individually, and has conducted a public meeting with all owners and interested parties on June 6, 2002. Overwhelming support is apparent for the creation of the district, including entertainment operators from around the nation. Staff now proposes to draft the necessary ordinance(s) to effectuate the district. Staff are also working with Metro Police to bolster long-term security in the area and to coordinate a public "clean sweep" of the district on Monday, June 24, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Receive Report and Direct Staff Accordingly

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED direction to staff to compile the commitments of property owners fronting Fremont Street and to move forward with an appropriate ordinance - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Business Development

Item 82 – Discussion and possible action to receive an update status report and direct staff accordingly regarding the proposed East Fremont Entertainment District (Multiple APNs)

MINUTES – Continued:

APPEARANCES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN directed MS. CODER to report to him on the impact that the creation of an entertainment district might have on the area between the proposed entertainment district and the Fremont Street Canopy, where Neonopolis, the Red Garage, Race Rock and surrounding vacant properties are located, because he feels that the entertainment district might have to be expanded to include those areas. He indicated that he is interested in the second part of the report that is coming back, as far as the overwhelming support from entertainment operators from around the nation.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN BROWN also directed MS. CODER to provide him with the details of the dialogue being held with the Fremont Street Experience members, as well as answers on whether the City is going to have to use Redevelopment funds for this district or make any type of investments. MS. CODER indicated that staff hopes to get more in depth and detailed on that dialogue, but staff will certainly bring back any pros, cons, and potential conflicts with recommended solutions. As far as the City having to make any type of investments, she pointed out that the City would only have to contribute the placement of the entitlement, which is non-financial.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD instructed MS. CODER to set up a meeting with him, or representatives of his office, and MR. PARIS to fully discuss this endeavor, especially since some of the area between the Fremont Street Experience and the proposed entertainment district area was recently redistricted into Ward 1.

(9:48 – 9:54)

1-1392

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding approval of Key Employee for a Package Liquor License, Sam Sadek Yousif, dba Liquor Stop 1, 865 North Lamb Blvd., Suite 1-4, Nadir O. Kalandos, Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding approval of a Key Employee for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to a one-year (6/18/2003) review – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, deferred to DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), who recommended a one-year review based on the fact that the investigative report on MR. KALANDOS revealed issues of moral turpitude and that he has an outstanding responsibility to another jurisdiction.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised MR. KALANDOS that he could prosper in the community, as JUDGE GEORGE ASSAD has, by meeting his responsibilities and keeping up with his obligations.

There was no further discussion.

(9:54 – 9:57)

1-1638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots, Yono Enterprise, Inc., dba Fremont Family Market, 611 Fremont Street, Suite 20, Steve M. Yono, Dir, Pres, 33.33%, Manuel M. Yono, Jr., Dir, VP, Secy, 33.33%, Manuel M. Yono, Dir, Treas, 33.34% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 7 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

GOODMAN – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, explained that this matter was previously held for 30 days because of the ongoing development of an entertainment district. However, he does not believe that this business would compete with the entertainment district. He recommended approval. MAYOR GOODMAN agreed with MR. DiFIORE.

There was no further discussion.

(9:57 – 9:58)

1-1731

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes, Quan Xuan Quang, dba Vincent Stereo, 2122 East Charleston Blvd., Quan X. Quang, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Class II Secondhand Dealer License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Quan X. Quang
3. Map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, indicated that the applicant met the criteria for a temporary license. He requested permission to grant the permanent license if the investigative report comes back with no area of concern.

There was no further discussion.

(9:58 – 9:59)

1-1783

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License, Rebecca J. Affleck, dba Rebecca J. Affleck, 4480 East Charleston Blvd., Rebecca J. Affleck, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a Six Month Review of an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to no further reviews

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The applicant was not present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, deferred to DETECTIVE STACY RODD, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), who indicated that there are no additional concerns.

There was no further discussion.

(9:59 – 10:00)

1-1818

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License, Shu Qin O'Neil, dba Golden Dragon, 4035 West Sahara Ave., Shu Qin O'Neil, 100% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – DENIED the review, which allows the temporary to expire, denying the application for a permanent license – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was determined that a Verbatim Transcript would be made a part of the Final Minutes.

NOTE: This matter was trailed to allow ATTORNEY GUO to review the police report.

The applicant was present and accompanied by her ATTORNEY EVA GUO and interpreter WAI-MEI BORGEL.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, advised that the license for the Golden Dragon is being brought back sooner than the sixth-month review because there are concerns with the licensee.

DETECTIVE STACY RODD and ROBERT ALACIA, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), were present. DETECTIVE ALACIA indicated that independent site checks and surveillances commenced on 5/9/2002 after Business Services reported to Metro that several employees were conducting massage at the Golden Dragon, the Asiana, and Good Luck Massage without proper work cards or licensing, as required by Code. During the surveillance

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 87 – Discussion and possible action regarding Review of Massage Establishment License, Shu Qin O'Neil, dba Golden Dragon, 4035 West Sahara Avenue, Shu Qin O'Neil, 100%

MINUTES – Continued:

period it was also found by Metro that the Golden Dragon and Asiana were allowing persons to work without a work card or a license to legally conduct massage, and the employees were offering acts of prostitution. These illegal activities were found to be taking place outside of the stipulated hours of operation.

Undercover detectives were offered sex for money during a massage that took place on 6/4/2002. It was also found that some of the employees that were conducting illegal massages have prostitution convictions or pending prostitution charges. He opined that these businesses are functioning as a fertile environment for the growth and promotion of prostitution by allowing the conduct and bringing in those persons who are actively engaged in prostitution.

All of these activities are taking place while operating on an occasional license, which is subject to revocation. This conduct demonstrates that the license provisions means little to the operators and employees of the aforementioned businesses, as well as the propensity towards continued illegal activity at the businesses.

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned whether the confidential report was made available to the parties in question so that they could review it prior to this meeting. DETECTIVE ALACIA answered that the parties did not receive a copy of the report prior to this meeting. ATTORNEY GUO indicated that she needed more time to review the report in order to be able to respond to the allegations, as the only notice that she received was on 5/31/2002 about an alleged violation that occurred on 5/27/2002.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that the confidential report shows many more violations than what ATTORNEY GUO mentioned. He felt it was only appropriate to allow the applicant due process and allow ATTORNEY GUO the opportunity to be able to respond, contingent upon the applicant's agreement to not operate her businesses until this matter is resolved, especially due to the seriousness of the allegations. ATTORNEY GUO indicated that her client was in agreement with the contingency.

DETECTIVE RODD interjected that he and DETECTIVE ALACIA worked on compiling the reports up until the last minute, so they did not have an opportunity to give MS. O'Neil a copy of it.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD objected to holding the matter any further, pointing out that if the applicant did not comply with the stipulations that were initially set on the temporary license, then she could not be trusted to stop operating the businesses in the interim. She preferred to proceed with the Asiana massage establishment, which is located in Ward 2.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 87 – Discussion and possible action regarding Review of Massage Establishment License, Shu Qin O'Neil, dba Golden Dragon, 4035 West Sahara Avenue, Shu Qin O'Neil, 100%

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. DiFIORE suggested the matter be trailed while the Metro Detectives sit down with the applicant and her Attorney to go over the report instead of holding it in abeyance for two weeks.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD said that some of the activities that are taking place in these massage establishments are exactly what he does not want to see and what he was greatly concerned about when the temporary license was issued. He agreed with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD that the applicant blatantly disregarded the conditions set on the approval of the license; however, he also felt that the applicant and her representative should be given time to review the report so that due process could be served. He then requested this matter be trailed to the beginning of the afternoon session. ATTORNEY GUO indicated she could not come back that afternoon. MAYOR GOODMAN suggested the matter be held until the end of the morning session.

When the matter was recalled for consideration, ATTORNEY GUO said that MS. O'NEIL is trying to live the American Dream, but that the allegations occurred while she was out of the country and left her businesses under the care of MS. LIU. MS. O'NEIL has since then severed her ties with MS. LIU. ATTORNEY GUO assured the Council that MS. O'NEIL will comply with all the conditions if given another chance.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned the dates that MS. O'NEIL was out of town and what relationship she has with MS. LIU. MS. GUO answered that MS. O'NEIL left early in March and came back in May. MS. LUI was MS. O'NEIL's employee, whom MS. O'NEIL has known for about six months.

MAYOR GOODMAN said that the imposed hours of operation were 9:00 a.m. to 9:00 p.m. and asked during which hours the illegal activities were taking place. MR. DiFIORE said that the Metro report indicates that there were 105 entries and exists after the restricted closing hour of 9:00 p.m. between 5/9/2002 and 5/21/2002.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD said that he was very direct and firm on the hours of operation at the time he made the recommendation for approval of this license, yet the applicant blatantly disregarded that, which is a total disrespect to the Council and the residents of Ward 1. He does not want illegal activity taking place in the City of Las Vegas. It is a big injustice to the massage industry, especially the Dahan Institute. He concurred with MR. DiFIORE's recommendation for denial.

There was no further discussion.

(10:00 – 10:13/10:45 – 10:46/11:13 – 11:18)

1-1846/2-255/2-1200

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License, Asian, Inc., dba Asiana Massage, 2922 Lake East Drive, Zhu H. Liu, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Submitted at the meeting - copy of the minutes of 2/6/2002 on the same matter
3. Submitted after meeting – 6/25/2002 letter from the City Clerk to Attorney Benson

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – DENIED the review, which allows the temporary to expire, denying the application for a permanent license – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was determined that a Verbatim Transcript would be made a part of the Final Minutes.

NOTE: This matter was trailed until the end of the morning session to allow the attorney to go over the Metro report.

ATTORNEY LEE BENSON appeared representing the applicant, who was also present, along with her interpreter WAI-MEI BORGEL.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Finance and Business Services, explained that MS. LUI, owner of Asiana Massage, was found to be in violation of several of the conditions that were imposed by the City Council. She is also the person that was arrested for solicitation of prostitution at the Golden Dragon on 3/27/2002. This activity was not reported to Business Services until approximately 30 days later, which is in direct violation of the condition imposed, that any arrests or citations be reported to Business Services within 48 hours. Additionally, the Metro

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 88 - Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License, Asian, Inc., dba Asiana Massage, 2922 Lake East Drive, Zhu H. Liu, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

MINUTES – Continued:

report indicates that between 5/14/2002 and 5/16/2002 over 50 entries and exits were made into the establishment after 9:00 p.m. Also, citations that were issued to two individuals by licensing officers are still pending in Municipal Court. One of those citations is for performing massage and acupuncture without a work card and the other one for performing acupuncture without the proper work card. He recommended denial of the license.

ATTORNEY LEE countered that one of the problems with the confidential report is that it involves three separate locations. Some of the reporting has a chilling effect upon consideration in a different case. Also, allegations were made within the confidential report that assesses guilt prior to a determination by any of the courts.

He recognized that the issue before the Council was the issue of reporting to Business Services. However, he indicated that he was only recently retained and was not present at the Council meeting in February when the temporary license was approved. At that time MS. LUI, who does not speak English or Chinese Mandarin, did not understand the translation about the requirements on the license.

ATTORNEY LEE said that as far as the alleged licensing violation for outcall, as mentioned in the report, MS. LUI told him that she was informed by Business Services that she could perform massages according to her license, but it was not clarified. She understands the difference since the violation. She thought she could give massages with an acupuncture license because she had the requisite massage hours. MAYOR GOODMAN questioned who gave her that information. ATTORNEY LEE indicated that he wrote a letter to MR. DiFIORE indicating the nature of the problem, and MR. DiFIORE indicated that there is a difference between an outcall license and a massage license.

MR. DiFIORE clarified that MS. LUI has a massage establishment license, which allows her to perform massages at 2922 Lake East Drive. But she was arrested off-site at the Golden Dragon, which was not permitted under her license. She was arrested for the solicitation of prostitution, outcall massage, and performing massage without a license at the Golden Dragon. MR. DiFIORE clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN that those charges would be heard in Municipal Court the following week.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned how many times patrons were allowed at Asiana Massage after the designated hours of operation. MR. DiFIORE responded that approximately 60 times, and added that the hours of operation were included on the license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 88 - Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License, Asian, Inc., dba Asiana Massage, 2922 Lake East Drive, Zhu H. Liu, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD said that she vividly remembers MAYOR GOODMAN confirming with MS. LUI that she understood the hours of operation. MR. DiFIORE interjected that he recalls that COUNCILMAN BROWN asked after the meeting that he make sure the hours of operation were clarified with the applicant; therefore, he conferred with the applicant outside of the Chambers and MS. LUI gave him the impression that she understood perfectly.

ATTORNEY LEE requested that the matter be continued until the resolution of the Municipal Court matter.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stressed that the temporary license is often granted to give applicants the opportunity to be able to demonstrate to the neighborhood that they can be good neighbors, and then obtain a permanent license. In this case, with approximately 60 visits to the establishment after hours, she sees a clear pattern of abuse of the temporary license. She noted that this is a privileged license and asked ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN to define that.

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY REDLEIN stated that massage establishments require a privileged license because it has been identified as a trade where there is a great historical risk of prostitution activities. He noted that in February MS. LUI was approved a temporary license with attached conditions that, if met, would subsequently be cause for the approval of a permanent license. However, because of problems, the review was accelerated. He felt that the proper motion would be deny the application for the license, which is not a revocation because a permanent license was never issued. COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD concurred with that recommendation.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that he reviewed the minutes of February on this matter and they indicate that a female interpreter with Language Line Services interpreted for MS. LUI via telephone, which indicates a presumption that she knew what was taking place.

MR. DiFIORE clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN that MS. LUI was arrested on 3/27/2002 and that she did not report that arrest to Business Services until 4/24/2002.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD noted that the Council bases their decision of the issuance of a permanent license on whether the applicant complies with the conditions of a temporary license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Finance and Business Services

Item 88 - Discussion and possible action regarding Review of a Massage Establishment License, Asian, Inc., dba Asiana Massage, 2922 Lake East Drive, Zhu H. Liu, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Clerk to send a letter to ATTORNEY LEE, who represented the applicant in morning session Item No. 88. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that the tape of the meeting was reviewed by VICKY DARLING of the City Clerk's Office and it was confirmed that in response to his very specific queries as to whether the applicant fully understood all of the terms and conditions and was able to follow all of the proceedings, on both occasions the applicant replied affirmatively. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he was perturbed when the applicant represented to her attorney that she did not understand what had transpired.

See Item No. 87 for related discussion.

(10:13 – 10:14/11:18 – 11:31/1:16 - 1:17)

1-2414/2-1398/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to affirm the preapproval of award of Bid Number 01.15341.30-LED, Parson Park to lowest responsive & responsible bidder and approve conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance & Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: LAS VEGAS PAVING CORP. (\$1,670,622 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,670,622☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On May 15, 2002, the award of Bid No. 01.15341.30-LED, Parson Park was preapproved by the Council. After bids were opened on May 29, 2002, the apparent low bidder, B & H Construction, requested withdrawal of bid because a major cost element was not included in their bid price. Staff contacted the remaining bidders to ascertain if the same error had been made. It was learned that the second low bidder, Camco Pacific Construction had made the same error causing staff to reject their bid as non-responsive and to award to Las Vegas Paving Corp. Camco is protesting the award to Las Vegas Paving.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council reaffirm its preapproval of award of Bid Number 01.15341.30-LED, Parson Park and award to Las Vegas Paving Corp. in the amount of \$1,670,622 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$167,063.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 56, 81, and 89 – UNANIMOUS****MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:44 – 9:45)

1-1229

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**DIRECTOR: DR. BARBARA P. JACKSON** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action on naming a recreational complex with an umbrella name and to name various amenities within the complex located at Gowan Road, Durango Drive and Cheyenne Avenue - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On May 8, 2002, at the monthly Parks and Recreation Advisory Commission meeting, the park naming sub-committee reported the recommendation of Durango Hills as an umbrella name and Durango Dunes Golf Course, Durango Meadows Park, Durango Springs Pool and Durango Leisure Center (currently known as the YMCA) as sub-names located at Gowan Road, Durango Drive and Cheyenne Avenue. The vote by the Board was unanimous to recommend the names to City Council

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends City Council approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED the name of Durango Hills for the park and its amenities – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

DR. BARBARA JACKSON indicated that the park naming sub-committee met and recommended the names of the park and its related amenities as set forth. She recommended approval.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed DR. JACKSON to explore the possibility of naming parks after people that make a donation; such as the way buildings of universities are named after the people that pay for those structures.

There was no further discussion.

(10:14 - 10:16)
1-2456

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-54-2002 - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution consenting to certain undertakings of the City of Las Vegas Redevelopment Agency in connection with the Owner Participation Agreement with Simon/Chelsea Las Vegas Development, LLC, for the project concerning the development of real property - (APN #139-33-710-001) - Ward 5 (Weekly) [NOTE: This item is related to the Redevelopment Agency item #4]

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Simon/Chelsea Las Vegas Development, LLC, will construct Las Vegas Premium Outlet Mall, of at least 450,000 gross square feet on 39.2 acre parcel at Grand Central Parkway and Bonneville Avenue. Developer has reserved two future pad sites for proposed high-rise development. Developer is seeking TIF for some qualified improvements as no other means of financing is available. The project is of benefit to the redevelopment plan area.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Resolution No. R-54-2002
2. Affidavit
3. Submitted at the meeting - list of agreement changes, Affidavit from Chelsea Property Group, Inc., and Las Vegas Premium Outlets Fact Sheet

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the amended agreement – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002

Resolutions

Item 91 – R-54-2002

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: This matter was trailed until the end of the morning session.

APPEARANCES:

LESA CODER, Director of the Office of Business Development

MARK FIORENTINO, Attorney, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw

JOHN REDLEIN, Assistant City Attorney

TERRI PONTICELLO, Deputy City Attorney

MARK SILVESTRI, Simon/Chelsea Las Vegas Development, LLC

(10:16 - 10:18/10:46 – 11:13)

1-2573/2-288

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEUMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - TRAFFIC & PARKING COMMISSION – John J. Lee, Term Expiration 5-3-2003 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Ordinance #5258 enacted October 4, 2000 increased the public membership on this commission to seven. The members are appointed by the Mayor and confirmed by the City Council such that one member shall reside in and represent each of the six Wards and one member representing the City as a whole. Mr. Lee has resigned as the city-wide representative, therefore, this seat requires Mayor Goodman's recommendation. There is no requirement to fill unexpired portions of terms and no limits to the number of terms which may be served. At the Council Meeting of June 5, 2002, this item was abeyed to June 19, 2002.

RECOMMENDATION:

Procedure for this Board requires appointment by the Mayor with confirmation by the City Council. The appointee for Mr. Lee's seat must reside in the City and be recommended by Mayor Goodman.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Current Listing & Authority - Traffic & Parking Commission
2. Letter of resignation from Mr. John J. Lee and resume of David Stephen Turner

MOTION:

GOODMAN – MOTION to APPOINT DAVID STEPHEN TURNER, 1210 Hinson Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to notify

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Boards and Commissions
Item 92 – Traffic and Parking Commission

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that MR. TURNER will make an excellent member of this Commission, as he has been in the community for many years and is very interested in traffic and parking issues.

There was no further discussion.

(10:18)
1-2633

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-61 – Annexation No. A-0027-01(A) – Property location: On the south side of Grand Teton Road, approximately 340 feet west of Larry McBryde Street; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.21 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent).
Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the south side of Grand Teton Road, approximately 340 feet west of Larry McBryde Street. The annexation is at the request of the City, as lessee, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 28, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/19/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/3/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/15/2002; First Publication – 6/7/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-61 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5484 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:18 – 10:19)

1-2673

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-62 – Annexation No. A-0078-01(A) – Property location: On the northeast corner of Regena Avenue and El Capitan Way; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 1.27 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (ML) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Regena Avenue and El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the City as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 28, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/19/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/3/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/15/2002; First Publication – 6/7/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-62 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5485 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:19 – 10:20)

1-2715

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-63 – Annexation No. A-0087-01(A) – Property location: On the northeast corner of Juliano Road and Azure Way; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 2.55 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northeast corner of Juliano Road and Azure Way. The annexation is at the request of the City as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 28, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/19/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/3/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/15/2002; First Publication – 6/7/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-63 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5486 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:20)

1-2747

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-64 – Annexation No. A-0090-01(A) – Property location: On the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Elkhorn Road; Petitioned by: Alan B. Andrews, et al.; Acreage: 39.95 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (DR) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Jones Boulevard and Elkhorn Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owners. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (June 28, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/19/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/3/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/15/2002; First Publication – 6/7/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-64 and Location Map

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5487 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:20 – 10:21)

1-2777

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-66 – Updates the Municipal Code provisions regarding the purpose of the various zoning districts to provide that the C-D Zoning District is consistent with the “Office” category of the General Plan. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The C-D Zoning District currently is consistent with the “Service Commercial” and “Neighborhood Center” categories of the General Plan, but not the “Office” category. It is believed that the uses allowable in the C-D District are compatible with the objectives of the “Office” category, and this bill will accomplish the change.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 6/19/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/3/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 5/15/2002; First Publication – 6/7/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-66

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5488 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(10:21 – 10:22)

1-2810

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-68 – Increases the salaries of the Municipal Court judges. Sponsored by:
Councilman Lawrence Weekly - (Annual amount \$48,636 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$48,636 per year *

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Municipal Court

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will increase the salaries of the Municipal Court judges to \$113,578 commencing July 1, 2002. The bill will also provide for a subsequent increase to match any increase (up to \$129,000) that is granted to the justices of the Las Vegas Justice Court before the end of Fiscal Year 2003.

* additional \$45,366 per year if subsequent raise is approved.

RECOMMENDATION:

FORWARDED to Full Council at the 6/19/2002 City Council meeting with no recommendation pursuant to the 6/17/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 6/5/2002; First Publication – 6/7/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Submitted after final agenda - Inter-office memo from Chief Deputy City Attorney Steed
2. Bill No. 2002-68

MOTION:

REESE – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5489 – Motion carried with L.B. McDONALD voting NO, MACK abstaining because of his pending case in Municipal Court, and GOODMAN also abstaining because one of his sons is one of the candidates being considered for the position of public defender

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 98 – Bill No. 2002-68

MINUTES:

CHIEF DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED pointed out that a memorandum was issued to the Council members indicating that the fiscal impact should be corrected to reflect an initial impact of \$72,000 and an additional potential impact of \$55,000 should the contingent increase be approved.

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that, to his understanding, the Municipal Court Judges submitted a revised proposal that included the first portion of the proposed ordinance, which includes a raise up to \$113,578, and are agreeable to removing the reference to the language regarding any approvals by the Clark County Commission. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed COUNCILMAN BROWN's comments.

On behalf of all the Municipal Court Judges, JUDGE TOY GREGORY thanked the Council for allowing them the opportunity to speak on this ordinance. He commented that the Judges appreciate and share the Council's concerns for fiscal affairs and responsibility. For that reason, he asked that the second portion of the ordinance requesting a subsequent pay increase beginning in January of 2003 be stricken. He pointed out that the requested increase can be handled in the current budget. The Judges have not received a merit increase since 1999, yet their caseload has increased by 20% with a 15% reduction in staff.

Lastly, in the last five years the contributions of the Municipal Court to the General Fund have increased from \$8.9 million in 1997 to \$14.1 million in 2001, an increase of 58%. He requested the Council's consideration and approval of the requested salary increase.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated that part of the justification that she received for the increase in salary is to be comparable to the Justice Court Judges. She wondered why that comparison was made, pointing out that Justice Court Judges handle both criminal and misdemeanor arraignments, whereas Municipal Court handles non-criminal cases. JUDGE GREGORY commented that even though the cases may differ, Municipal Court Judges handle the same number of cases as Justice Court Judges.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that Municipal Court Judges were paid more than District Court Judges for close to a decade. It was just in this past legislative session that the salary of the District Court Judges was increased to exceed Municipal Court Judges' salaries. JUDGE CEDRIC KERNS pointed out that when he took office, his base salary was \$16,000 less than that of a District Court Judge; therefore, the Municipal Court Judges have not been paid more than the District Court Judges during the past year. Municipal Court Judges also handle a lot of cases and work very hard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Recommending Committee
Item 98 – Bill No. 2002-68

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD commented that many people are under the misimpression that misdemeanor crimes are insignificant; however, some felons can be caught through misdemeanor crimes. He opined that it is very important for the City to have the full support of the Judges with regard to cases involving Code Enforcement in order to maintain the quality of life for all City of Las Vegas residents.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, insisted that judges exist to ensure that the Constitution of the United States is enforced. In his opinion, paying \$50,000 more a year is miniscule.

COUNCILMAN BROWN supported a 9.7% increase only because a compromise was achieved and because the Municipal Court Judges have accomplished many fiscal efficiencies. The Municipal Court Judges also work very hard and have made Municipal Court one of the best. However, he stated that he becomes very concerned when salary comparisons are made between jurisdictions, because each entity is different and separate. And the City of Las Vegas has taken the lead in implementing a more conservative fiscal approach to government.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY expressed his support of the 9.7% increase, stating that Municipal Court Judges have not had an increase in salary for the past four years. The increase is very well deserved, because the Judges have done a great job of being fiscally responsible and have implemented a suggestion that will save the City \$400,000. He opined that the City Council has a great rapport with the Judges and that it is important to pay good salaries in order to attract good lawyers that want to serve as judges. Lastly, he expressed his appreciation to the Judges for making a compromise.

COUNCILMAN REESE shared the sentiments of COUNCILMAN WEEKLY as far as the importance of a good salary and that the Judges do a great job.

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC indicated that the ordinance should be adopted as a First Amendment to include a 9.7% basic increase.

There was no further discussion.

(10:22 – 10:43)

1-2845

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2002-67 – Levies Assessment re: Special Improvement District No. 1463 – Bonanza Village Subdivision - (\$824,698.56 – Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments) – Ward 5 (Weekly) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$824,698.56

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund – Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The installation of a security block wall, varying in height from six to eight feet, with emergency access gates. The wall is located along the perimeter of the Bonanza Village Subdivision bounded on the north by Vegas Drive, on the east by Martin L. King Boulevard, on the south by Washington Avenue, and on the west by Tonopah Drive.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 7/3/2002 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/3/2002 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 6/5/2002; First Publication – 6/21/2002

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-69 – Annexation No. A-0083-01(A) – Property location: On the north side of Craig Road, approximately 330 feet east of Puli Road; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 5.52 acres; Zoned: R-U (County zoning), U (PCD) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Craig Road, approximately 330 feet east of Puli Road. The annexation is at the request of the City, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (July 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-69 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-70 – Annexation No. A-0088-01(A) – Property location: On the northwest corner of Ann Road and Calverts Street; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 3.06 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Ann Road and Calverts Street. The annexation is at the request of the City, as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (July 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-70 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-71 – Annexation No. A-0089-01(A) – Property location: On the northwest corner of Via Olivero Avenue and Duneville Street; Petitioned by: Tony Aziz; Acreage: 0.65 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), U (DR) (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael McDonald

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Via Olivero Avenue and Duneville Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owner in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (July 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-71 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-72 – Annexation No. A-0005-02(A) – Property location: On the southeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Garehime Street; Petitioned by: David and Colleen Ketzenberger; Acreage: 0.92 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the southeast corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Garehime Street. The annexation is at the request of the property owners in consideration of connection to City sewer facilities, although the owners may now wish to object. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (July 26, 2002) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-72 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-73 – Requires all persons performing massage therapy or reflexology for consideration to be licensed and eliminates the separate licensing category for acupressure. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill requires that all persons performing massage therapy for consideration or reflexology for consideration have privileged business licenses, including employees of and those persons under contract with massage or reflexology business establishments. Acupressurists will be considered massage therapists for business licensing purposes. The current work card requirements for massage therapists and reflexologists are repealed by the terms of this bill.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-73

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that this matter be fast tracked.

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-74 – Repeals and replaces the City's sign regulations as contained in Title 19.
Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Based upon the work of a consultant and input from the sign industry and other interested parties, the City has undertaken a comprehensive revision of its sign regulations. The revision does not include any significant changes to the provisions that govern off-premise signs. This bill will adopt the revision.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-74

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-75 – Establishes a procedure for bringing nonconforming on-premise signs into compliance. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will add to the City's sign regulations a number of provisions to address the process of bringing nonconforming on-premise signs into conformance, through amortization or otherwise. The bill includes an appeal process to ensure an opportunity for sign owners and others to be heard regarding any particular sign.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-75

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2002-76 – Amends various animal control regulations and procedures. Proposed by: Michael Sheldon, Director of Detention and Enforcement

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill makes a number of minor adjustments to the City's animal control regulations and procedures, including updates regarding the treatment of wild and dangerous animals and the mistreatment of animals.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2002-76

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN MACK and WEEKLY

7/1/2002 Recommending Committee

7/3/2002 Council Agenda

(10:43 – 10:45)

2-192

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 11:31 A.M., RECONVENED AT 11:57 A.M., AND RECESSED AGAIN AT 11:58 A.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

CLOSED SESSION - To Be Held at Conclusion of Morning Session

Upon a duly carried Motion, a closed meeting is called in accordance with NRS 241.030 to discuss the Annual Performance Review of the City Attorney and the City Auditor

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Open Meeting law permits the City Council to conduct closed sessions for – among other reasons – discussion and evaluation of the character and professional competence of a person. While all decisions and actual deliberations regarding compensation and employment must be made during the public agenda item, which follows the closed session, these private meetings permit candid conversations, which might not be appropriate for a public meeting. Minutes of a closed session must be generated in the same fashion as the public portion of the agenda, but the minutes are confidential unless the person who is the subject of the closed session chooses to release them.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to go into closed meeting in accordance with NRS 241.030 to discuss the Annual Performance Review of the City Attorney and City Auditor – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(11:57 – 11:58)

2-3149

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to TABLE Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] to 7/17/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] to be tabled.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also asked that Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] be held for an additional 30 days based on his discussions with COMMISSIONER GATES and members of her staff and the need to work out some outstanding issues brought up by concerned residents.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN directed the City Clerk to send a letter to ATTORNEY LEE, who represented the applicant in morning session Item No. 88. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that the tape of the meeting was reviewed by VICKY DARLING of the City Clerk's Office and it was confirmed that in response to his very specific queries as to whether the applicant fully understood all of the terms and conditions and was able to follow all of the proceedings, on both occasions the applicant replied affirmatively. MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he was perturbed when the applicant represented to her attorney that she did not understand what had transpired.

(1:16 – 1:17)

3-232

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing regarding the report of expenses to recover one-half of the cost of an asbestos survey required before demolition of dangerous buildings located at 4759 and 4779 East Owens Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: MARTIN AND SUZANNE SQUIRES TRUST, CAROL SQUIRES TRS. - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,950.00☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, CamAir was hired to perform an asbestos survey. The owner subsequently demolished the buildings without giving notice to the City of Las Vegas. The Department of Neighborhood Services is seeking to recover one-half of the costs of the asbestos survey, which was required before demolition of the buildings.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,950.00, representing one-half of the cost of the asbestos survey, in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Chronological List of Events
5. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
6. Letter from Marquis & Aurbach, attorneys for the property owners
7. Video shown but not submitted

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 109 – 4759 and 4779 West Owens

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVE SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property and stated that the condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The property was declared in violation, and the Department of Neighborhood Services started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired CamAir to perform an asbestos survey prior to demolition. Subsequently, the owner hired her own contractor to demolish the structure and clean the property as well as paid for an asbestos survey. MR. SEMENZA recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,950.00 representing one half of the cost of the survey in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

There was no one present to represent the property owner.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:20 – 1:21)

3-325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT

- 110 U-0008-00(1)** - Mendian Neuro Care, Limited Liability Company

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

WAIVER FROM TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AS WELL AS
WAIVER FROM MASTER SIGN PLAN

- 111 CHR-0002-02** - Centennial Center, Limited Liability Company and Shaw Enterprises

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 112 Z-0120-97(1), U-0125-97(1) AND U-0158-98(1)** - Willow Creek Buffalo, Limited Liability Company, et al

MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE LAS VEGAS MEDICAL DISTRICT
NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 113 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0020-97(33)** - City of Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 114 Z-0013-01(1)** - Rancho General Partnership

WAIVER - PUBLIC HEARING

- 115 WVR-0002-02** - Juliet Crowell

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 116 VAC-0032-02** - S D A S H S Apartments, Limited

- 117 VAC-0033-02** - O'Hare Springs, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Avante Homes

- 118 VAC-0034-02** - L B L V T C II, Limited Liability Company on behalf of The City of Las Vegas

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 119 V-0040-97(1)** - Restaurant Row, Limited Liability Company

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 120 U-0185-89(3)** - General Mills Restaurants, Inc. on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

- 121 U-0298-94(2)** - Mini-Masters, Inc. on behalf of Clear Channel Outdoor

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of June 19, 2002

122 U-0020-00(1) - Citystop VI, Limited Liability Company

123 U-0024-00(1) - Floyd Armstrong

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

124 U-0061-92(2) - Robert Hammond, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

125 U-0065-92(2) - Ges Family, Limited Partnership on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

126 U-0021-97(1) - Joan Pennacchio, et al on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

127 ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0020-02 - Hynds Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of South of Heaven, Limited Liability Company

128 U-0031-02 - Nimrod Barashy on behalf of Ismail Z. Israel

129 U-0046-02 - Tenaya Center, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Sakura Sushi

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

130 Z-0092-89(5) - Austa Frances Mauer Living Trust on behalf of Lamar Outdoor Advertising

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

131 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0028-02 - Bonanza Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Jerry Appelhans

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0028-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

132 U-0037-02 - Bonanza Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Jerry Appelhans

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0037-02 AND Z-0028-02 - PUBLIC HEARING

133 ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0028-02(1) - Bonanza Properties, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Jerry Appelhans

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

134 Z-0014-02 - Kolob, El Durango and Corono Park, Limited Liability Companies, et al

135 Z-0019-02 - R.B. Petersen Construction Company on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of June 19, 2002

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0019-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **Z-0019-02(1)** - R.B. Petersen Construction Company on behalf of Spring Mountain Ranch, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **Z-0031-02** - David Whitaker
- VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0031-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 **V-0030-02** - David Whitaker
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0031-02 AND V-0030-02 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 139 **Z-0031-02(1)** - David Whitaker
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 140 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0047-01** - City of Las Vegas
- 141 **ABEYANCE ITEM - GPA-0007-02** - County of Clark (Parks and Recreation)
- 142 **GPA-0001-02** - City of Las Vegas

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - **U-0008-00(1) - MENDIAN NEURO CARE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Special Use Permit FOR A CONVALESCENT CARE FACILITY at 7690 Carmen Boulevard (APN: 138-28-501-013), U (Undeveloped) Zone [ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald) [new: Ward 4 (Brown)]. The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

(1:21 – 1:22)
3-389

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 110 – U-0008-00(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of this approval, the Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of the Special Use Permit (U-0080-00), Site Development Plan Review [Z-0047-98(1)] and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

WAIVER FROM TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS AS WELL AS WAIVER FROM MASTER SIGN PLAN - **CHR-0002-02 - REVIEW REQUESTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL FOR THE APPLICATION OF CENTENNIAL CENTER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY AND SHAW ENTERPRISES** - Request for a Waiver from the Town Center Development Standards as well as a Waiver from a previously approved Master Sign Plan for the installation of a wall sign to the rear wall of a building located in Centennial Center located at 7991 Tropical Parkway, Suite 120 (APN: 125-28-713-002), SC-TC (Service Commercial-Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Centennial Hills Architectural Review Committee recommends DENIAL. Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****N/A****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****N/A****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Centennial Hills Architectural Review Committee recommends DENIAL. Staff has NO RECOMMENDATION.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the waiver to include the entire building which will encompass five suites – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, represented the applicant. She stated that the requested waiver is for the west elevation for Building 27 that is located in Centennial Center and is within Town Center. She referenced the Town Center manual that has a provision that allows for signage on each elevation as long as the fifteen percent requirement for each elevation is adhered to. Scanning through the manual, another section contains sign design guidelines with language that addresses store fronts and building frontages. It is because of this discrepancy that a clarification needs to be made.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 111 – CHR-0002-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. LAZOVICH explained that staff has been working on clarification of the discrepancy. She expressed that this waiver is for the west boundary elevation of Building 27. She stated that it is critical for the waiver to be granted as it directly impacts the eastbound traffic on Tropical Parkway. MS. LAZOVICH further explained that the proposed site is located adjacent to a vacant 40-acre parcel that is zoned P-F (Public Facility) and owned by the Water District and the School District. When this site is developed, there will be a barrier between this building and the closest residence.

MS. LAZOVICH referenced the staff report that indicated that only one suite was being addressed today at City Council and she affirmed that when the building is constructed, it will encompass five suites. MAYOR GOODMAN asked staff for verification. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development, confirmed that he held discussions with MS. LAZOVICH and was under the impression that this only included one sign; however, if this sign is approved, it will apply to the other signs. Therefore, it can apply to the entire building.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that there will be a related text amendment presented at the next Planning Commission meeting.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

(1:23 – 1:24)

3-401

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0120-97(1), U-0125-97(1) AND U-0158-98(1) - WILLOW CREEK BUFFALO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL** - Request for Review of Conditions contained in case numbers Z-120-97, U-125-97, and U-158-98 relating to the location and design of mechanical equipment, air conditioning units, back-up generators, trash enclosures, landscaping and parking areas on properties located at 3321, 3351, 3371, and 3391 North Buffalo Drive (: 138-09-801-021, 022, 023, and 024), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

N/A

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

N/A

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions, amending Condition No. 4 as follows:

4. Condition number 5 of Special Use Permit (U-0158-98) shall be eliminated and replaced with the following:

All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations as amended by City Council on June 19, 2002.

and deleting Condition No. 5 and replacing it as follows:

5. *The developer will continue to work with the City on mitigating all noise emanating from all mechanical equipment.*

– UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 112 - Z-0120-97(1), U-0125-97(1) AND U-0158-98(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GARY HAYES, 3777 Pecos-McLeod, appeared on behalf of the applicant along with DON HERMAN, a principal in the applicant corporation.

MR. HAYES explained that he was aware that staff had recommended denial but he assured Council that with the number of conditions placed on this application, it will bring the existing property into conformance. He concurred with all of the conditions with the exception of Condition No. 5 relative to the 8-foot decorative perimeter block wall stating that he believed the adjacent neighbors probably do not want their wall heights increased to eight feet.

COUNCILMAN BROWN responded that he did not have a problem deleting Condition No. 5; however, he specifically stated that replacement language be inserted to require the developer to work with staff on alleviating any noise emitting from the mechanical equipment should complaints be received. MR. HAYES agreed, stating that he was aware that the 8-foot wall issue was due to a concern about the backup generators.

COUNCILMAN BROWN additionally asked that the applicant contact MR. CONNER, the adjacent property owner, regarding additional parking. He believed having some dialogue might circumvent any parking problems.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:26 – 1:32)

3-536

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Condition number 2 of Rezoning (Z-0120-97) shall be eliminated and replaced with the following:

Medical uses within the office building shall be limited to a maximum of 4,500 square feet.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 112 - Z-0120-97(1), U-0125-97(1) AND U-0158-98(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

2. Condition number 4 of Rezoning (Z-0120-97) shall be eliminated and replaced with the following:

All trash enclosures and mechanical equipment shall be located a minimum of 4 feet from any residentially zoned property, shall be completely screened by block walls, and shall be covered or baffled to direct any ambient noise toward the interior of the property.

3. Condition number 4 of Special Use Permit (U-0125-97) shall be eliminated and replaced with the following:

All trash enclosures and mechanical equipment shall be located a minimum of 4 feet from any residentially zoned property, shall be completely screened by block walls, and shall be covered or baffled to direct any ambient noise toward the interior of the property.

4. Condition number 5 of Special Use Permit (U-0158-98) shall be eliminated and replaced with the following:

All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations as amended by City Council on June 16, 2002.

5. The property owner shall construct and maintain a decorative 8-foot tall perimeter block wall.

6. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, within 30 days of this action, to reflect the existing condition of the site and any changes needed to meet all conditions of approval for the site.

7. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, within 30 days of this action, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 15 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters along the Buffalo Drive street frontage.

8. Elevations of all mechanical equipment on the site shall be submitted and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, within 30 days of this action, to reflect the proper screening height and materials.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - MAJOR MODIFICATION TO THE LAS VEGAS MEDICAL DISTRICT NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0020-97(33)** - **CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Major Modification to the Las Vegas Medical District Neighborhood Plan to update and revise the Plan document, as part of the City's General Plan for the area bounded by Alta Drive to the north, Charleston Boulevard to the south, Martin L. King Boulevard to the east and Rancho Drive to the west, PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SEAN ROBERTSON of the Planning and Development Department gave a presentation on the modification of the Las Vegas Medical District Neighborhood Plan that was originally adopted in 1997. He briefly explained that many of the issues addressed related to the creation of more opportunities for residential use and the creation and expansion of commercial uses throughout the district. He stated that a number of flexible setback and landscaping requirements were built into the design guidelines. MR. ROBERTSON also mentioned that a number of responses were received from the hospitals and residents.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – Z-0020-97(33)

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT PETRONI, 2001 Pinto Lane, a long-time resident appeared in support of the modification proposals to the Las Vegas Medical District Neighborhood Plan. He stated that he favored Council approval and adoption of this plan.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, referring to the colored section of the land use map asked if the proposed Brain Trauma Center is still being contemplated.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY praised the efforts of staff especially in regards to their handling of resident and constituent concerns.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:32 – 1:36)

3-702

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Per the text amendment approved as Z-0020-97(27), allow General Personal Services as a permitted use in the SC (Service Commercial) sub-district.
2. Add language to permit building identification signs for hospitals.

Public Works

3. Add the following paragraph to page 23, section 6:
 - c. “In all cases, the combined height of any landscaping and/or planters shall not interfere with Sight Visibility Restriction Zones as required by the City Traffic Engineer.”

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 113 – Z-0020-97(33)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

4. On page 29, change paragraph 1.c. to read as follows:

1.c. “Notwithstanding the above, within the Sight Visibility Restriction Zones of any street intersection, all fences, walls, and plant material shall be no higher than two and one-half feet, provided that the City Traffic Engineer or his designee determines that it will not create an obstruction to vision at the street intersection.”
5. On page 30, the statement under “Grading” should read, “A grading plan approved by the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works and a dust permit.....”
6. On page 30, the first sentence under “Lighting” should begin, “All private onsite lighting of a site shall...”
7. On page 31, under “Sidewalks”, add the following at the end of the paragraph:

“Pedestrian walkway easements are required for those portions of the sidewalk located outside of the public street right of way. Encroachment agreements shall be required for all private improvements and landscaping within the public street right-of-way.”

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0013-01(1) - RANCHO GENERAL PARTNERSHIP** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of Required Perimeter and Parking Lot Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 30,058 SQUARE-FOOT OFFICE COMPLEX on 2.85 acres adjacent to the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 250 feet south of Charleston Boulevard (APN's: 162-04-101-011, 012 and 014), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-2-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-2-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions and deleting Condition Nos. 4 and 5 and amending Condition No. 12 as follows:

12. *The site plan and landscape plan presented and approved at the June 19, 2002 City Council meeting shall be submitted to Planning and Development Department staff prior to the time application is made for a building permit.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because this affects the neighborhood in which he resides.

NOTE: DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT advised MAYOR GOODMAN that, like a previous abstention, he would need to abstain on this item since his neighborhood is affected. MAYOR GOODMAN also disclosed that he has a property interest in an office building that is affected by this item.

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0013-01(1)

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

APPEARANCES:

DAVID MASON, 516 South 6th Street
LISA STEWART, 2754 Highland Drive
TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street
ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development Department
BARBARA JO RONEMUS, City Clerk

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:36 – 1:47)

3-842

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect conformance to the Residential Adjacency Standards.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a minimum fifteen foot wide planter adjacent to the Rancho Drive frontage and a minimum eight foot wide planter along the north property line in an effort to provide additional visual buffering.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect landscape finger islands provided throughout the parking area at a ratio of one tree (island) for every six required parking spaces.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0013-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
10. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
13. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
14. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 114 – Z-0013-01(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

15. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine if a one lot Parcel Map or other mechanism to join these parcels will be necessary prior to the issuance of any permits for this site; if such is required, it shall record prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the City Surveyor.
16. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-0013-01 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002**

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

WAIVER - PUBLIC HEARING - **WVR-0002-02 - JULIET CROWELL** - Request for a Waiver OF THE REQUIRED 660-FOOT SEPARATION DISTANCE BETWEEN GROUP RESIDENTIAL CARE FACILITIES at 1005 Ironwood Drive (existing facilities are located at 3817 Fairway Circle, 1104 Ironwood Drive, and 3820 Golf Lane) (APN: 139-30-612-006), R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****29****City Council Meeting****10****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – protest letter from William and Mary Barry
5. Submitted after final agenda – protest petition representing 43 properties

MOTION:**WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD disclosed that he went to school with the daughter of one of the speakers and he also knows some of the residents of this neighborhood; however, he has had no contact pertaining to this application. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT confirmed this acknowledgment to be correct.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – WVR-0002-02

MINUTES – Continued:

THERESA BRUSHNELL, 775 East Eldorado Lane, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MS. BRUSHNELL alluded to a previous campaign speech wherein MAYOR GOODMAN stated that it is his duty to represent everyone fairly and under the law in order to preserve the rights of all citizens. She explained that elderly group care homes were established for those seniors unable to care for themselves. The law that mandates the number of allowable care homes deprives seniors of due process. MS. BRUSHNELL referenced a recent study made by the Habitat for Humanities International and the findings did not indicate any adverse affects to neighborhoods. In fact, it determined that property values increased.

MS. BRUSHNELL also addressed a comment made by one of the Planning Commissioners that the cost of the services they will provide will be about \$3,000 a month. She rebutted by saying that the majority of seniors do not have this kind of money and in fact, many cannot even afford \$800 a month. Relative to the traffic issue, she stated that residents normally do not have their own vehicles. Most group home operators provide vans to transport their residents. She further discussed the residents' concerns regarding the landscaping. She maintained that group homes are regulated by many policies that require strict compliance.

MS. BRUSHNELL, as an alternative, asked if this item could be tabled rather than denied, to give the City Attorney ample time to review the specifics of this request. In finalizing her presentation, she stated that she believed that the City Ordinance is in violation of the United States Constitution. She singled out the 1st, 5th , 7th and 14th Amendments.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT to comment on the legality of the Ordinance. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT explained that having reviewed the Nevada Revised Statutes, Section 278.021 regarding residential facilities, he believes the staff report is accurate. He mentioned that City Ordinance mandates a 660-foot distance separation between another like facility. Since the statute was created by the Nevada Legislature, an opinion could be requested from the Attorney General's Office.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – WVR-0002-02

MINUTES – Continued:

JOHN McCARDLE, 900 Ironwood Drive, appeared as a concerned citizen and explained that he lives in a community where there exists much residential pride. The CC&R's governing their community states that each lot shall be used as a residence for a single family and for no other purpose. MR. McCARDLE stated that he has no problem with group homes; however, in the two years since moving into this community, this is the fourth request for such a home and that he has a problem with. MR. McCARDLE further elaborated about the increase in traffic and group-home vehicles using neighboring residential driveways for turn-around. He also mentioned that he had additional copies of petitions signed by 49 persons. MAYOR GOODMAN affirmed that copies of those petitions were part of the Council's backup documents.

JO ANN ISOM, 905 Ironwood Drive, a resident of 31 years, stated that she witnessed many of the problems when there were eight care homes in her community.

GLENDA McCARTNEY, 1009 Ironwood Drive, lives next door to the subject property. At one time, the residence was used as an Alzheimer's Home and later closed up because of methamphetamine activity. There is no garage for the owners of the residence to park or ample street parking.

DEANNE LAMB, 3812 Fairway Circle, also disapproved of the proposed care home. She stated that the residents are not a problem, but there have been incidents with the employees of the care facility. Her family has experienced workers coming and going at all hours of the night, loud blaring music and honking of horns during the early morning hours. She also commented to MS. BUSHNELL that she does not live in the neighborhood and she understands that seniors do have rights, but what about the rights of the neighborhood. She believed that the law was implemented just for that purpose – to protect the neighborhood from becoming over-saturated with too much of a good thing.

NELSON SARDELLI, 3801 Fairway Circle, has lived in this community since 1972. He, like several of the other homeowners, has been exposed to the various elements associated with residential facilities.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT verified for COUNCILMAN McDONALD that there would be no problem with him voting on this matter as he has not been contacted by any of the residents of the affected neighborhood.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – WVR-0002-02

MINUTES – Continued:

BRIAN LEMING, 1220 Oaktree, stated that he believes that in the future, if any homes go on the market, the entire community would go through this entire process all over again. He commented that the neighborhood has three now and there should be no additional group home care facilities.

ALLEN FOSTER, 1000 Ironwood, appeared in protest and asked the Council to enforce the law and deny the waiver.

PAT HINE, 909 Ironwood, stated that there have been many problems previously and she opposes any additional care homes in their neighborhood.

SHAWN LAMB, 3812 Fairway Circle, protested the granting of this waiver.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed that he has nothing against group homes, but in this particular instance, saturation is the major problem. The law as written should be adhered to.

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, compared this neighborhood to an area in North Las Vegas and stated that this area has done its part and should not be saturated with a multitude of care facilities and that it is time to look elsewhere for a location. Although he does not live in this neighborhood, he offered his support to the residents.

MS. BRUSHNELL, in closing, stated that the Council should look at a recent Supreme Court ruling, the City of Edmonds versus Oxford House regarding related people and unrelated people.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY relayed to MS. BRUSHNELL his appreciation for her compassion and efforts to help those in need; however, he did emphasize that he hoped she could understand the views of the neighborhood, especially since she herself does not reside in that community and would not have to deal with the impact every single day. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that the Twin Lakes Estates is one of the finest in his ward and the residents take it upon themselves to look after their neighbors' properties.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 115 – WVR-0002-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE commented that he is a strong supporter of group homes; however he also believes two or three of them does a great disservice to a neighborhood. He suggested that perhaps the applicant might take a look into other areas.

MAYOR GOODMAN alluded to MS. BUSHNELL'S initial comments and stated that he meant the words that he said back during his campaign and wants them to be applied now. He believes in the purpose behind the enactment of laws and ordinances and when there are requests for waivers such as in this case, it becomes a balancing process between individual requests and the quality of life.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, in closing, expressed that there are numerous senior developments throughout the City, a distinct revelation that the City is indeed looking after the interests of our senior population.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:47 – 2:11)

3-1278

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The facility must comply on an ongoing basis with all governmental licensing requirements.
2. All City Code Requirements and all City Departments design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0032-02 - S D A S H S APARTMENTS, LIMITED - Petition to vacate a public alley generally located west of Ninth Street, between Bridger and Carson Avenues, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JONATHAN BOWMAN, 3043 Capistrano Court, construction superintendent for VRG Construction, spoke on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with all conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – VAC-0032-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:11 – 2:12)

3-2216

CONDITIONS:

1. Retain a 20 foot wide public sewer easement for the existing public sewer currently located in this alley, unless a plan to relocate such sewer is submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works. Such sewer relocation, if proposed, shall be constructed and operational prior to recordation of the Order of Vacation for this site. (Public Works Department).
2. Retain a public drainage easement over the entire area to be vacated, unless a Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section, indicating such easement is not necessary, is submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. (Public Works Department)
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. If the alley is no longer to be used for vehicular traffic, the existing curb cuts shall be removed and replaced with sidewalk, curb, and gutter meeting current City Standards. (Public Works Department)
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required. (Planning and Development Department)
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. (Planning and Development Department)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 116 – VAC-0032-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #3 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works Department)
7. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development Department)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0033-02 - O'HARE SPRINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF AVANTE HOMES - Petition to vacate a portion of Dapple Gray Road generally located south of Log Cabin Way, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow, represented the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:12 – 2:13)

3-2332

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 117 – VAC-0033-02

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. (Public Works Department)
2. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress there from shall be provided if required. (Planning and Development Department)
3. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. (Planning and Development Department)
4. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. (Public Works Department)
5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (Planning and Development Department)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-0034-02 - L B L V T C II, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF LAS VEGAS - Petition to vacate a multi-use trail easement generally located adjacent to the southwest corner of Peak Drive and the Buffalo Drainage Channel, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because of a connection with APEX in which he has an interest.

MINUTES:

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHERI EDELMAN, Public Works Department, spoke on behalf of the City and agreed with all of the conditions. She explained that this application addresses the relocation of the trail along Peak Drive.

COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked staff as well as Lehman Brothers, Las Vegas Tech Group for all of their efforts working on this project.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 118 – VAC-0034-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:13 – 2:14)

3-2256

CONDITIONS:

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. *(Public Works Department)*
2. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. *(Planning and Development Department)*
3. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #1 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works Department)*
4. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. *(Planning and Development Department)*

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0040-97(1)** - **RESTAURANT ROW, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Required Five Year Review on an approved Variance WHICH ALLOWED A 61 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT TRIPLE-FACED OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN WHERE 55 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT ALLOWED AND WHERE A MAXIMUM DOUBLE-FACED SIGN IS ALLOWED at 1991 North Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-22-713-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.**

0
0

City Council Meeting**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.**

0
0

City Council Meeting**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RUSSELL ROWE, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the application and concurred with staff's recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 119 – V-0040-97(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:14 – 2:15)
3-2332

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Variance shall be reviewed in five years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Variance shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Variance is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0185-89(3) - GENERAL MILLS RESTAURANTS, INC. ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 1361 South Decatur Boulevard (APN: 162-06-211-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, 1863 Helm Drive, representing Lamar Outdoor Advertising, agreed with all conditions and requested approval.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that there should be a standardization of advertising signs to ensure uniformity as far as signage heights and displays.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD, in addressing Mr. Farlow's comments, affirmed that the City is working on structured measures with the outdoor advertising firms and those procedures should be completed and available in about a year.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 120 – U-0185-89(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:15 – 2:17))

3-2375

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0298-94(2) - **MINI-MASTERS, INC. ON BEHALF OF CLEAR CHANNEL OUTDOOR** - Appeal filed by Clear Channel Outdoor from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a Required Two Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 6900 West Craig Road (APN: 138-03-602-011 and 015), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Clear Channel Outdoor

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE TO 7/17/2002 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JOHN KOSWAN, Planning and Development Department, explained that the applicant believed this item was going to be abeyed to work with staff on the design screening of the internal structure of this billboard. COUNCILMAN MACK confirmed that photos indicate that the billboard is not in compliance with City codes and this would allow ample time for the applicant to work with staff.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 121 – U-0298-94(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:17 – 2:18)
3-2513

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0020-00(1) - CITYSTOP VI, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Required Two Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a car wash/auto detail business on the east side of Durango Drive, approximately 290 feet north of Cheyenne Avenue (APN: 138-09-422-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT EATON, with the Law Firm of Lionel Sawyer and Collins, along with GREG BORGEL, appeared on behalf of the applicant. ATTORNEY EATON explained that the Council had requested this review once Phase I was completed and operational. He stated that all the conditions have been met and they plan to move forward with the next phase.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 122 – U-0020-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:18 – 2:20)

3-2513

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The use shall comply with all previous Conditions of Approval for the Special Use Permit (U-0020-00).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING -
U-0024-00(1) - FLOYD ARMSTRONG - Required Two Year Review of an approved
 Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A SECONDHAND DEALER at 1228 South Main
 Street (APN: 162-03-110-097), C-M (Commercial/ Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese) [new: Ward
 1 (M. McDonald)]. The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to condition and adding the following condition:

- *The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two years by the City Council..*

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

FLOYD ARMSTRONG, 1228 South Main Street, concurred with staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 123 – U-0024-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:20 – 2:21)

3-2569

CONDITION:

Planning and Development

1. The use shall comply with all previous Conditions of Approval for the Special Use Permit (U-0042-00).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0061-92(2) - **ROBERT HAMMOND, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Required Five Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 1910 Rock Springs Drive (APN: 138-22-711-005), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, 1863 Helm Drive, represented Lamar Outdoor Advertising and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 124 – U-0061-92(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:21)

3-2628

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in five years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0065-92(2) - GES FAMILY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Required Five Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 55 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN on the west side of the Oran K. Gragson Highway (US-95), south of Vegas Drive (APN: 138-27-501-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, 1863 Helm Drive, represented Lamar Outdoor Advertising and concurred with staff conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 125 – U-0065-95(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:21 – 2:23)
3-2674

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in five years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

FIVE YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0021-97(1) - JOAN PENNACCHIO, ET AL ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING - Required Five Year Review of an approved Special Use Permit WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 2401 North Rancho Road (APN: 139-18-403-002), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, 1863 Helm Drive, represented Lamar Outdoor Advertising and concurred with staff conditions.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked MS. COTA to have Lamar's maintenance staff spot check their signs because he has noticed a lot of graffiti on some of the advertising poles. MS. COTA concurred and added that Lamar does regular maintenance and they make it a point to respond as soon as they receive a complaint.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 126 – U-0021-97(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:23 – 2:25)

3-2723

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in five years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19A including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19A has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
3. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0020-02** - **HYNDS PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SOUTH OF HEAVEN, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED TATTOO PARLOR/BODY PIERCING STUDIO located at 3281 North Decatur Boulevard (APN: 138-12-813-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no one present to represent the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:25 –2:26)

3-2837

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0031-02 - NIMROD BARASHY ON BEHALF OF ISMAIL Z. ISRAEL - Appeal filed by Ismail Israel from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit FOR PACKAGED LIQUOR SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH AN EXISTING MARKET located at 2212 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-01-101-004), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Ismail Israel
5. Submitted after final agenda – protest letter from Ralph L. Jones

MOTION:

REESE – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, Steven Mack, owns the SuperPawn shop near this location, but they have had no discussions nor will this application impact his brother's business; therefore, he would vote on this item.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

There was no one present to represent the applicant.

COUNCILMAN REESE explained that the applicant already has a license for beer and wine, but denied this application for packaged liquor because he believes it would result in more problems because of the location of this existing market.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 128 – U-0031-02

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked what the prerogatives were for approval and whether it depended on the number of liquor licenses in an area or is it based on the establishment. COUNCILMAN REESE explained that he looks at the amount.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2::26 – 2:28)

3-2883

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0046-02 - TENAYA CENTER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SAKURA SUSHI - Request for a Special Use Permit and a Waiver of the 400-foot minimum distance separation requirement from a City Park FOR A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED RESTAURANT at 7290 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite #2 (a portion of APN: 138-22-601-004), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

A representative of MJ Construction Company, appearing on behalf of Sakura Sushi, asked for approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 129 – U-0046-02

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:28)

3-2975

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

TWO YEAR REQUIRED REVIEW - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0092-89(5)** - **AUSTA FRANCES MAUER LIVING TRUST ON BEHALF OF LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a Required Two Year Review of an approved Rezoning WHICH ALLOWED A 40 FOOT HIGH, 14 FOOT X 48 FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 4230 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 140-31-802-004), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-2 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company
5. Submitted after the meeting: Letter of Opposition from Dr. John C. Griffiths

MOTION:

REESE – DENIAL – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, 1863 Helm Drive, represented Lamar Outdoor Advertising. She asked for approval based on staff's recommendations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 130 - Z-0092-89(5)

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that billboard sign companies should be made accountable for maintaining the properties where the billboards are situated. He felt that unless these companies take on this responsibility, they should not be granted a special use permit.

COUNCILMAN REESE explained that because of the new ordinance regulating signs, if this was a new application, it would not be approved because it does not comply with the distance requirements. He conveyed that not only is this particular billboard not compatible with the adjacent residences but the property it is located on is littered, has weeds around it and has no landscaping at all.

MS. COTA asked if the item could be abeyed. COUNCILMAN REESE responded negatively.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:28 – 2:32)

3-3020

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0028-02** - **BONANZA PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JERRY APPELHANS** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) of 1.34 acres located at 2022 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-301-024), PROPOSED USE: BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE MATERIAL YARD, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – protest letter from A. Kent Greene

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to TABLE Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] to 7/17/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] to be tabled.

There was no discussion.

(1:16 – 1:17)

3-232

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO Z-0028-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0037-02 - BONANZA PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JERRY APPELHANS** - Appeal filed by Jerry Appelhans from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request for a Special Use Permit TO ALLOW A BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE MATERIAL YARD on property located at 2022 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-310-024), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
2

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter filed by Bonanza Properties and Jerry Appelhans
5. Submitted after final agenda – protest letters from A. Kent Greene and A Concerned Citizen

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to TABLE Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] to 7/17/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] to be tabled.

There was no discussion.

(1:16 – 1:17)

3-232

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0028-02 AND U-0037-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0028-02(1) - BONANZA PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF JERRY APPELHANS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction of the Amount of Required Perimeter Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED BUILDING AND LANDSCAPE MATERIAL YARD on 1.34 acres located at 2022 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-301-024), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to TABLE Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] to 7/17/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked for Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] to be tabled.

There was no discussion.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0014-02 - KOLOB, EL DURANGO AND CORONO PARK, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANIES, ET AL - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: PD (Planned Development) on approximately 160 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Grand Teton Drive and Grand Canyon Drive (APN: Multiple), PROPOSED USE: MIXED RESIDENTIAL, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because one of the principals is a partner with him in a separate project.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open, then abstained.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, affirmed that this project conforms to the Master Plan and having reviewed staff's recommendations, concurred with all the conditions imposed.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed concern about a spike of land that emanates from the parcel.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0014-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:32 – 2:35)

3-3240

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The Master Developer shall enter into a Development Agreement with the City of Las Vegas prior to any building permits being issued within the Planning Area.
3. The Grand Teton Village Master Development Plan Map Development Standards shall be revised to indicate that the Master Developer shall construct, or have constructed, 3.88 acres of “pocket parks”, 2.12 acres of trail along Grand Teton Drive and Hualapai Way and provide the City with 10 acres of City park development, either in the form of actual park construction or in lieu of fees, which will be negotiated within the Development Agreement.
4. The Master Developer shall work with the Clark County School District to determine if the 15-acre parcel, located on the north side Farm Road, approximately 660-feet west of Grand Teton Drive is suitable for an elementary school. If it is not the Master Developer shall work with the school district and City staff to find an acceptable alternative.
5. The parcels depicted as low density residential along Farm Road shall be limited to 3.0 dwelling units per acre for 330-feet northward from Farm Road.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0014-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The 10-acre parcel directly adjacent to the east, of the 10 acres depicted adjacent to Hualapai Way approximately 660-feet north of Farm Road, shall be designated as public facility
7. All Tables and Sections of the Plan affected by the ten-acre change from Low Density Residential to Public Facility shall be updated prior to final submittal by the applicant.
8. The final layout of each development within the Planned Development Area shall be determined at the time of approval of individual Tentative Maps and/or Site Development Plan Reviews for each pod site as required by the Department of Planning and Development and the Department of Public Works.
9. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
10. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet to provide transportation hazards.
11. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

Public Works

12. Dedicate appropriate half-street or full-street rights-of-way adjacent to and within this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Final right-of-way requirements shall be determined at the time of approval of future developments within this overall site.
13. Construct appropriate full-width or half-street improvements on all streets internal or adjacent to this overall site concurrent with development of the individual pod sites. Final street improvements shall be determined at the time of approval of future developments within this overall site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0014-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

14. Section 3.2.1(c) shall be revised to provide sidewalk on both sides of all public rights-of-way.
15. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the public right-of-way adjacent to and interior to this site prior to occupancy of this site. Also, landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way adjacent to or interior to this site and provide pedestrian walkway easements for all sidewalks not located within the public right-of-way.
16. Each “pod” site within this development shall have paved, legal access along a logical route prior to the final inspection of any units in that “pod” site as required by the Department of Public Works.
17. In addition to the Open Space required in Condition of Approval #3 the developer shall be responsible for the residential construction tax in accordance with Las Vegas Municipal Code Chapter 4.24.
18. A Master Traffic Impact Analysis for the overall 160 acres covered by this Rezoning Application shall be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any permits or the submittal of any Tentative Maps anywhere within this site as required by the Department of Public Works. The Master Traffic Impact Analysis shall identify roadway infrastructure, including any required infrastructure improvements adjacent to the parcels identified as Public Facility to ensure the continuity of paving and/or other improvements, and Traffic Signalization requirements created by the proposed PD zone, and shall propose an implementation program for the dedication of right-of-way and construction of such required improvements, including a Phasing Plan identifying appropriate threshold points (such as a certain number of units built or building within certain geographical locations) that will trigger when improvements are needed. The Master Traffic Impact Analysis shall clearly identify the parties responsible for each phase of construction and shall establish a Master Traffic Signal Participation Schedule defining each individual development’s responsibility on a per-acre or per-development basis.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0014-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

19. A Master Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study for the overall 160 acres must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any Tentative Maps anywhere within this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainage ways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
20. Site-specific Drainage Plans and Traffic Impact Analyses may be required as each internal site develops as required by the Department of Public Works. The City reserves the right to impose additional site-specific conditions with future site development actions.
21. The final layout of this site shall be determined at the time of approval of any Tentative Maps for this site.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0019-02 - R.B. PETERSEN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] TO: R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre) on 7.74 acres adjacent to the south side of Ackerman Road, approximately 325 feet west of Durango Drive (APN: 125-08-806-001 and 002), PROPOSED USE: 58-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0
0

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow, represented the applicant and concurred with staff's recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK asked staff if there were any amendments. JOHN KOSWAN, Planning and Development Department, read an amendment to Condition No. 3 of Item 136 [Z-0019-02 (1)]. MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. ARMSTRONG to verify acceptance of the amendment to Condition No. 3. MR. ARMSTRONG concurred.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0019-02

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0019-02] and Item 136 [Z-0019-02(1)] were held under Item 135 [Z-0019-02].

(2:35 – 2:39)

3-3394

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. A Vacation application, such as VAC-45-01, to vacate the east portion of Versi Mount Road where it conflicts with development of this site must record prior to the recordation of any Final Maps for this site.
4. Dedicate 25.5 feet of right-of-way where such right-of-way doesn't already exist adjacent to this site for Ackerman Avenue.
5. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate over paving on Ackerman Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0019-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Coordinate with the City Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to extend public sewer stub to the west to a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
7. A Master Streetlight Plan for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0019-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainage ways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
10. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0019-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0019-02(1) - R.B. PETERSEN CONSTRUCTION COMPANY ON BEHALF OF SPRING MOUNTAIN RANCH, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 58-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 7.74 acres adjacent to the south side of Ackerman Road, approximately 325 feet west of Durango Drive (APN: 125-08-806-001 and 002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] [PROPOSED: R-PD7 (Residential Planned Development - 7 Units Per Acre)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions and amending Condition No. 3 as follows:

3. The developer shall create non-residential lots for the multi-use trail path and the entire equestrian trail outside the public right-of-way on the south side of Ackerman Avenue *The trail width, including public right-of-way shall be not less than 35 feet. The equestrian trail and the multi-use trail path shall be constructed concurrent with development of this site and conveyed to the City upon acceptance of the trail improvements. The Homeowner's Association shall maintain all portions of the trail until such trail is accepted by and conveyed to the City, thereafter the Homeowner's Association shall maintain those portions of the trail not conveyed to the City. The multi-use trail and equestrian trail shall be constructed according to the provisions of the "Transportation Trails Element" and the "Recreation Trails Element," particularly Exhibit 3 (Multi-Use, Equestrian Trail) of the "Recreation Trails Element" and Exhibit 1 (Multi-Use Transportation Trail) of the "Transportation Trails Element."* - UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0019-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion pertaining to Item 135 [Z-0019-02] and Item 136 [Z-0019-02(1)] were held under Item 135 [Z-0019-02].

(2:35 – 2:39)

3-3394

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of a Rezoning (Z-0019-02) to an R-PD 7 (Residential Planned Development – 7 Units Per Acre) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. The developer shall create non-residential lots for the multi-use trail path and the entire equestrian trail outside the public right-of-way on the south side of Ackerman Avenue, to be conveyed to the City upon acceptance of the trail improvements and to construct such trail concurrent with development of this site. The Home Owner's Association shall be required to maintain all portions of the trail until accepted by the City and, once accepted, those portions of the trail not conveyed to the City.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 30 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within the six-foot wide landscape planter along Ackerman Avenue. All landscaping shall meet the requirements of the Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 15 feet to the front of the house, 20 feet to the front of the garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 10 feet in the rear.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0019-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. The building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
7. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
8. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
9. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a tentative map.
10. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
11. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. The entrance shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
15. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0019-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

16. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections. The CC&R's for the Homeowner's Association shall be submitted to and approved by the City Attorney's Office.
17. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-19-02 on this same meeting, and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0031-02** - **DAVID WHITAKER** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-M (Commercial/ Industrial) on 0.87 acres at 1919 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-401-009), PROPOSED USE: CONTRACTOR'S PLANT, SHOP, AND STORAGE YARD, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID WHITAKER, 1430 South Monte Cristo Way, requested that all three items be approved. He noted that on Item 138 [V-0030-02] he was contacted by the Building Department and it was requested that some improvements be made to the structure referenced in the variance to ensure that the building is in compliance with Fire Code.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that it is important that the applicant not only ensure that the landscaping requirements are met but must make certain that the landscaping is maintained.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0031-02

MINUTES – Continued:

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, commented that he supports this project. He commended COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for his efforts in revitalizing this area. He also hoped that the applicant will be consistent with the efforts of DON AHERN who also has set certain standards for the area along Bonanza Boulevard.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained that with the assistance of MR. AHERN, a Ward 5 Business Association has been organized with the purpose of enhancing the Bonanza Boulevard district. For the record, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that the applicant agree to meet to discuss revisions to the landscape proposal. MR. WHITAKER concurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 137 [Z-0031-02], Item 138 [V-0030-02] and Item 139 [Z-0031-02(1)] was held under Item 137 [Z-0031-02].

(2:39 – 2:45)

3-3631/4-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review [Z-0031-02(1)] application approved by the Planning Commission or City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate an additional 15 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 50 feet on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits. Coordinate with the Right-of-way Section of the Department of Public Works for assistance in preparing the appropriate documents.
4. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site. Additionally, remove all substandard public street improvements and unused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0031-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.

5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, or compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainage ways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0031-02

CONDITIONS – Continued:

7. Submit an application for an N.D.O.T. Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements (driveways) in the Bonanza Road public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.
8. All landscaping installed with this project shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE RELATED TO Z-0031-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0030-02 - DAVID WHITAKER** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW A SIX INCH SIDE YARD SETBACK FOR AN EXISTING DETACHED ACCESSORY STRUCTURE, WHERE AN 8 FOOT SIDE YARD SETBACK IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on 0.87 acres at 1919 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-401-009), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-M (Commercial/Industrial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 138 – V-0030-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 137 [Z-0031-02], Item 138 [V-0030-02] and Item 139 [Z-0031-02(1)] was held under Item 137 [Z-0031-02].

(2:39 – 2:45)

3-3631/4-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0031-02) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0031-02(1)].
2. This Variance shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0031-02 AND V-0030-02 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0031-02(1) - DAVID WHITAKER** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the Amount of Required Perimeter Landscaping FOR A CONTRACTOR'S PLANT, SHOP AND STORAGE YARD on 0.87 acres at 1919 West Bonanza Road (APN: 139-28-401-009), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-M (Commercial/ Industrial)], Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0031-02(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion for Item 137 [Z-0031-02], Item 138 [V-0030-02] and Item 139 [Z-0031-02(1)] was held under Item 137 [Z-0031-02].

(2:39 – 2:45)

3-3631/4-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (Z-0031-02) to a C-M (Commercial/Industrial) Zoning District and a Variance (V-0030-02) for detached accessory structure setbacks approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect the requirements of Public Works condition #3 of the Rezoning (Z-0031-02), which requires the dedication of 15 additional feet of right-of-way along Bonanza Road. This will also require the removal of at least one of the handicapped parking spaces depicted north of the existing building so that the required perimeter landscaping can be provided.
5. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect a 5-foot wide landscape planter along the east property line, north of the existing building; a 15-foot wide landscape planter along the Bonanza Road frontage; and minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided all landscape planters provided north of the existing building.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0031-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
7. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0031-02(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

Public Works

14. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. The proposed driveway accessing Bonanza Road, shall be designed, located and constructed to meet the intent of Standard Drawing #222a and shall also comply with the requirements of the Nevada Department of Transportation (N.D.O.T.).
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-31-02 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0047-01 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend portions of the Southeast Sector map of the General Plan in the general vicinity of the Charleston Boulevard/Rancho Drive intersection FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: O (Office); FROM: R (Rural Density Residential) TO: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential); FROM: O (Office) TO: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) and; FROM: L (Low Density Residential) TO: DR (Desert Rural Density Residential) in accordance with the recommendations of the Rancho Charleston Land Use Study and Strategic Plan, APN: multiple, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super majority vote, which is tantamount to DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

1
0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

2
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-1 vote on a motion for approval) failed to obtain a super majority vote, which is tantamount to DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to amending the request to convert three lots located on the corners of Charleston Boulevard and Campbell Drive, Charleston Boulevard and Strong Drive and Charleston Boulevard and Cahlan Drive to Desert Rural Density Residential. – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he lives in this area.

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting, it was verified that the location of the third lot as referenced in the minutes should be Charleston Boulevard and Cahlan Drive.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 140 – GPA-0047-01

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open and then abstained.

SEAN ROBERTSON, Planning and Development Department, presented an overview describing the GPA as a follow-up to the adoption of the Rancho-Charleston Land Use plan. He noted that one of the primary modifications includes the area along Charleston Boulevard being changed from Service Commercial to Office. Also the residential area to the north that is now Rural will be changed to Desert Rural Density Residential and then to the south that is Low Density Residential will be changed to Desert Rural Density Residential. The purpose was to maintain the residential uses of the existing very low densities and to allow for appropriate office and low intensity commercial development along Charleston Boulevard as has been occurring, especially in conjunction with the medical district.

JOHN THORNDALL, whose Law Office is located at 1100 East Bridger Avenue and whose residence is located at 3013 Burton Avenue, has lived in the McNeal area for a number of years. Since the development of the McNeal area, he has witnessed many improvements. He voiced his approval of the amended GPA as it will benefit not only his community but the general area as well. He did note, however, that at the January 10th Planning Commission meeting, a number of people raised concerns regarding three lots that went from Residential to Office rather than Residential to Desert Rural. He further conveyed that on a map that was sent to him, the three lots are still listed as Office. Using the overhead, MR. THORNDALL indicated the location of the three lots. One lot is located on the corner of Charleston Boulevard and Campbell Drive, another on Charleston Boulevard and Strong Drive and the third on the corner of Charleston Boulevard and Caleb Drive (sic). On one side of the street, the lot is residential but on the opposite side of the street, it is Office.

MR. ROBERTSON responded that although he was not aware of the circumstances, he would follow up and look into the matter.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD suggested those lots be made Desert Rural and if a variance, zone change or GPA is required, they would need to come before the City Council. ROBERT GENZER, Director of Planning and Development Department, understood that the matter had been addressed but concluded that the COUNCILMAN'S suggestion would be appropriate.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:45 – 2:53)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING -
GPA-0007-02 - COUNTY OF CLARK (PARKS AND RECREATION) - Request to
amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: ML (Medium Low Density
Residential) and SC (Service Commercial) TO: PF (Public Facility) on approximately 16.62
acres located adjacent to the southwest corner of Martin L. King Boulevard and Carey Avenue
(APN: 139-21-102-011 and 012), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and
staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

0

City Council Meeting

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to TABLE Items 131 [Z-0028-02], 132 [U-0037-02] and 133 [Z-0028-02(1)] and to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] to 7/17/2002 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY also asked that Item 141 [GPA-0007-02] be held for an additional 30 days based on his discussions with COMMISSIONER GATES and members of her staff and the need to work out some outstanding issues brought up by concerned residents.

There was no discussion.

(1:16 – 1:17)

3-232

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0001-02 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to amend Map #2 of the Master Plan Recreation Trails Element TO DELETE AN EQUESTRIAN TRAIL THAT EXTENDS SOUTH FROM PULI DRIVE AT ALEXANDER ROAD TO CHEYENNE AVENUE (APN: 137-11-000-001, 137-12-101-008, 137-12-201-001, 006, 007, 137-12-301-001, 002, 009, 010, 137-12-401-001), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

3
1

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.
City Council Meeting

0
1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at the meeting: Statement by Ellis Green

MOTION:

BROWN – STRICKEN - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DON SCHMEISER, Planning and Development Department, represented the City. He explained that a revision was made at the request of a Council Member to revise the Master Plan Recreation Trails Element by eliminating an equestrian trail located at the end of Alexander Avenue and north of Cheyenne Avenue along Puli Drive. Staff recommended that the trail be eliminated because of problems that are being created for development in this area. Another concern dealt with safety factors for equestrians utilizing a portion of the trail that will become highly developed urban area. Alternative routes were considered for safe thoroughfare for equestrians traveling to Red Rock Canyon.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 142 – GPA-0001-02

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. SCHMEISER indicated suggested routes on the overhead, routes that were actually traveled by staff to determine feasibility. Staff also submitted a proposal for the development of two trail heads from the sale of Bureau of Land Management (BLM) lands on property located on the west end of Cheyenne Avenue and at the west end of Alexander Avenue or Lone Mountain Road. With the establishment of these trail heads, it will make it possible for equestrians to trailer their horses, unload them and then ride through to Red Rock Canyon. MR. SCHMEISER articulated that the Planning Commission voted against altering the trails element until all avenues for equestrian travel fronting LaMadre Mountain have been closed off. If the Council agrees with the Planning Commission and determines that approval is not practicable, staff would then pursue the development of an equestrian trail along the west portion of Cliff Shadows Parkway similar to the proposed equestrian trail proposed on the north side of Alexander Avenue.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, did not agree with amending the trails element. He strongly felt that this item should be held in abeyance to enable Council members to review the previous Planning Commission meeting and hear the comments made by concerned citizens. COUNCILMAN BROWN asked what purpose this would serve. MR. FARLOW conveyed that there was a substantial amount of input.

ED DAUDRILL, 7795 North Torrey Pines Drive, Secretary of the Southern Nevada Regional Trail Partnership appeared in support of this project. He commended MR. SCHMEISER, staff, the user groups, the City and the County for all the efforts put into making this a workable undertaking. He mentioned that his organization spoke with the developer of the Focus Group who is all for keeping the existing trail and agrees that a solution can be worked out. He explained that each plan kept eliminating trails resulting in fewer trails for equestrians to use.

COUNCILMAN BROWN thanked MR. DAUDRILL and ELLIS GREEN who have been key spokespersons. Using the overhead, COUNCILMAN BROWN asked MR. SCHMEISER to indicate that portion of the trail from Alexander Road that extends west into the Lone Mountain area. Further west, the trails extend up to the Beltway and all the way to Buffalo into the Gowan Detention system where it will ultimately continue to Puli Drive. This will provide guaranteed access to the public lands. COUNCILMAN BROWN further confirmed that a proposed park is planned as part of the Lone Mountain West Master Plan that will incorporate a trail that will enable horses access through that area. COUNCILMAN BROWN conveyed that in consultation with the attorneys previous paths through the properties of two privately owned parcels cannot continue, as this access would present several legal issues.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Planning and Development Department
Item 142 – GPA-0001-02

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN stated that the main focus is to establish a design that will be well traveled yet concentrating on the safety aspect for equestrians and even pedestrians. He wanted to reiterate and ensure the public that access to the western public lands still remains.

In closing, COUNCILMAN BROWN directed that staff, along with the Council's support, work closely over the next two to four weeks with that portion from Alexander southerly into the Cheyenne Trail Head and establish some sort of alignment that everyone will be comfortable with.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:53 – 3:11)

4-398

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

V-0031-02, U-0028-01(1) – 7/3/2002 AGENDA

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE **CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JUNE 19, 2002**

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that he believes the major population of Nevada is unaware of a possible water shortage. He commented that the time to address this issue is now and not when we are confronted by an actual emergency situation. MAYOR GOODMAN assured MR. FARLOW that he would bring his concerns to the attention of the Water Authority at the next scheduled meeting.

MR. FARLOW also spoke on the issuance of special use permits for alcohol, slots, and tobacco being an avenue to generate some type of return for the privilege. He made a favorable comment concerning the Albertson's food chain and their contributions to community affairs.

(3:12 – 3:14)

4-1058

AMTAR CHARDEA, 430 South Maryland Parkway, referenced a prior City Council agenda item that related to the awarding of a contract to Las Vegas Paving who in turn subcontracted the project to Superior Asphalt (a.k.a. Superior Paving Company) for a project referred to as the Annual Crack Seal. MR. CHARDEA complained that without prior notice to the neighborhood, the subcontractor began the project and in the process, contaminated the area with hazardous dust, destroyed private property, polluted the air and promoted unsafe situations.. MR. CHARDEA criticized the business practices of Las Vegas Paving and their responsibility related to the activities of their subcontractor. He asked that the City Council take a look at this situation especially since this contract has a four-year renewal clause.

(3:14 – 3:17)

4-1133

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002 Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

ALLEN PETERSON, 4640 Vegas Valley Drive, conveyed that he is a member of the Sons of the Union Veterans of the Civil War. An Act of Congress officially incorporated this organization by the passage of Public Law 605, which perpetuated the memory of the Grand Army of the Republic. MR. PETERSON expressed his disappointment at having invited the Mayor and City Council to various functions with only one response, that being from COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD. MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. PETERSON to remain after the meeting.

(3:17 – 3:22)

4-1251

BROTHER DAVID appeared to address the future use of the MASH property. Armed with several suggestions, he produced a hand-drawn conceptual rendering and suggestions for generating revenue to establish future homeless services.

(3:22 – 3:24)

4-1438

GENE COLLINS voiced his opinions regarding the bus strike. He stated that he has been recommending to bus riders that they boycott the bus system and if they choose to ride and arrive at their destinations late, they should not pay the fare. He commended MAYOR GOODMAN for the role he has played in rejecting any tax proposal burdens to be placed on homeowners.

(3:29 – 3:30)

4-1529

AL GALLEGGO, citizen of Las Vegas, proposed that the Strip as well as the entire Valley should be re-designated Las Vegas. He also mentioned that Las Vegas is getting a bad name because of the bus and culinary union strikes. He encouraged the Mayor and Council to step in and require the operators of the bus system as well as the Culinary Union to be reasonable and to return to work.

(3:30 – 3:32)

4-1742

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 19, 2002
Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK commended MAYOR GOODMAN for his efforts related to the Yucca Mountain issue. MAYOR GOODMAN expressed his appreciation and explained that he attended the U.S. Conference of Mayors and discovered that the other attendees were not sensitive to the issues and dangers relating to the transport and storage of nuclear waste in Nevada. He concluded that the overall consensus indicated that a number of representatives believe it is our problem and Nevada will have to deal with it. MAYOR GOODMAN commended some of his constituents for working with him relative to the issue regarding nuclear waste transportation.

(3:32 – 3:36)

4-1848

MEETING ADJOURNED AT 3:36 P.M.